

## Chapter 5, Policy C7

Name	Representor Number	CH5PC7Q3: Response	CH5PC7Q4: Representor's Suggested Changes	MBC Response	MBC Suggested Modifications or Proposed Changes
Andrew Russell-Wilks (on behalf of Stephen Vickers, Buckminster)	370	Buckminster supports policy C7 as it fully recognises the importance of retaining existing community facilities and services especially in the rural areas where the loss of a facility may be the only one within the village or a group of villages.		Support noted	
Carl Powell	231	agreed	none required	Support noted	
Howard Blakebrough	20	Whilst the policy sound fine, MBC/023/16 building on the Somerby Play Area, is a proposed site. Thus the site takes away a local amenity. So the policy and the reality do not match	Take 023/16 out	This is SOM2 and the policy says that the play area will be relocated and enhanced.	
LCC (Highways, Education, Early Years, Waste, Property Assets, LLFA, Libraries & Culture, LRERC)	405		50. Paragraph 5.11.6 lists services but again Broadband needs to be included.	The policy relates to the change of use of buildings and so it would not include Broadband. Policy IN3 refers to this instead.	
Leigh Higgins	128	The word OR gives a green light to close a successful facility. It should ALSO demonstrate another condition and the wording should be changed from OR to AND	Proposals for the change of use of community facilities*, which would result in the loss of the community use, will only be permitted where it is clearly demonstrated that either:  1. There are alternative facilities available and active in the same village which would fulfill the role of the existing use/building, [CHANGE] *and*	This has been considered in detail and on balance, no modification recommended.	
Melanie Steadman	284	Although facilities and amenities within the villages may well have the capacity to expand no assessment has been done to see if the wider village is sustainable enough to cope with this expansion - ie, higher number of cars on the roads, increased parking needs etc.	Greater assessment of the villages or custom build one.	The policy relates to the change of use of buildings, not on the sustainability of the village to cope with a higher number of cars on the roads, increased parking needs etc. These matters would be considered in dealing with any planning application.	
R H B Ranns	242	Support fully		Support noted	
Richard Simon, Clerk to BPNP Steering Group	429	Supported		Support noted	
Richard Simon	266	Supported		Support noted	

Name	Representor Number	CH5PC7Q3: Response	CH5PC7Q4: Representor's Suggested Changes	MBC Response	MBC Suggested Modifications or Proposed Changes
Terence Joyce	159	<p>I question SOUNDNESS: with regard to Somerby (SOM)</p> <p>With regard to SOM2/3 Any build on these sites will not retain or enhance existing community services and facilities</p> <p>It will have negative impact on rural way of life including equestrian</p>	<p>To satisfy SOUNDNESS:</p> <p>Take SOM2/3 out of housing allocation.</p>	<p>The increase in the number of people living within a village can make the difference between a community facility staying open or being forced to close.</p>	