

Name	Representor Number	Response	Suggested Changes	MBC response	Proposed change or suggested modification
<b>HARBY</b>					
<b>HAR1</b>					
Leicestershire County Council (Archaeology)	409	Heritage implications. Development management decisions should give careful consideration to the heritage implications as required by national and local planning policy and informed by relevant guidance.		HAR1 has planning permission subject to S106 (15/00942/OUT) for 15 units. Approved subject to negotiations to secure contributions to Village Hall on 16/May/2016. The documents submitted include an archaeological report. The evaluation by trial trenching of the development area was negative and the recommendation from the Archaeological team of LCC was no further archaeological involvement.	None.
<b>HAR2</b>					
None.					
<b>HAR3</b>					
Sue Gibson	100	Objection to HAR3 due Environment value, Traffic (hazard, congestion and pollution). There are other more suitable sites. HAR6 as alternative.		The site identified as Reserve Site for Harby (HAR6) was granted planning permission at appeal on the 13th January 2017 and therefore can no longer be considered a Reserve Site. The appeal decision was received after the publication of the pre-submission Melton Local Plan. Since that time the Parish Council (landowners) has reconsidered the availability the site as a consequence of the granted permission on HAR6. Therefore the site is not available any longer.	A change to Policy C1 and the associated Appendix 1 to delete this site as a housing allocation.
John Gibson	101	Objection to HAR3 due Environment value, Traffic (hazard and congestion). Not ethical as the land was		The site identified as Reserve Site for Harby (HAR6) was granted planning	A change to Policy C1 and the

Name	Representor Number	Response	Suggested Changes	MBC response	Proposed change or suggested modification
		purchased by the Parish for allotments.		permission at appeal on the 13th January 2017 and therefore can no longer be considered a Reserve Site. The appeal decision was received after the publication of the pre-submission Melton Local Plan. Since that time the Parish Council (landowners) has reconsidered the availability the site as a consequence of the granted permission on HAR6. Therefore the site is not available any longer.	associated Appendix 1 to delete this site as a housing allocation.
Mrs Audrey Howarth	141	Objection to HAR3 due Environment value, Traffic (hazard, congestion and pollution). There are other more suitable sites. HAR6 as alternative.		The site identified as Reserve Site for Harby (HAR6) was granted planning permission at appeal on the 13th January 2017 and therefore can no longer be considered a Reserve Site. The appeal decision was received after the publication of the pre-submission Melton Local Plan. Since that time the Parish Council (landowners) has reconsidered the availability the site as a consequence of the granted permission on HAR6. Therefore the site is not available any longer.	A change to Policy C1 and the associated Appendix 1 to delete this site as a housing allocation.
<b>HAR4</b>					
Crofts Developments	384	Supporting comments to HAR4 and HAR5		Supporting comments noted.	None.
Elizabeth Crowther	61	The PC is concerned about the number of houses proposed for the adjacent sites HAR4 and HAR5 (a combined total of up to 50 dwellings) as being not in keeping with the character of this edge of village location and the nearby low density dwellings. Not consistent with NPPF paras 29-38 (promoting		The number of units may change when the Planning Application is submitted or when the conditions are placed. The number of units in the current pre Submission Local Plan just gives an idea of what it is considered	None.

Name	Representor Number	Response	Suggested Changes	MBC response	Proposed change or suggested modification
		sustainable transport), 109-125 (conserving and enhancing the natural environment), 126-141 (conserving and enhancing the historic environment), 93-104 (meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change).		an efficient use of the land when the assessment was done.	
<b>HAR5</b>					
Crofts Developments	384	Supporting comments to HAR4 and HAR5		Supporting comments noted.	None.
Elizabeth Crowther	61	The PC is concerned about the number of houses proposed for the adjacent sites HAR4 and HAR5 (a combined total of up to 50 dwellings) as being not in keeping with the character of this edge of village location and the nearby low density dwellings. Not consistent with NPPF paras 29-38 (promoting sustainable transport), 109-125 (conserving and enhancing the natural environment), 126-141 (conserving and enhancing the historic environment), 93-104 (meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change).		The number of units may change when the Planning Application is submitted or when the conditions are placed. The number of units in the current pre Submission Local Plan just gives an idea of what it is considered an efficient use of the land when the assessment was done.	None.
<b>HAR6 (reserve)</b>					
Elizabeth Crowther	61	PC objects to HAR6 as a Reserve Site as per the excessive number of units it will provide to the village.		HAR6 has been granted planning permission (15/00673/OUT on the 13th January 2017) after the appeal decision (just after the publication of the Pre-Submission Local Plan)	None.
Maurice Fairhurst	73	Unsure when Planning Permission will be granted. Sites selected from SHLAA and not depending on the capacity of the settlement resulting on some sites not fully evaluated. Some reserve sites would be too negative due their size and relation to the village/town example of this in Old Dalby, Long Clawson, Frisby, Somerby, Harby and Waltham.		The Council has limited influence on when people wish to submit planning applications, however, as part of evidence gathered for the Local Plan, developers' intentions for individual sites were gathered and put into a trajectory which MBC has published. The SHLAA is the best resource the Council has to assess site availability;	None.

Name	Representor Number	Response	Suggested Changes	MBC response	Proposed change or suggested modification
				it would be neither effective nor efficient to spend time and resource assessing sites that may not be available for development. The Council has the ability to reduce SHLAA sites into allocations or reserve sites and has done across the Borough.	
<b>HAR (new)</b>					
Leicester Diocesan Board of Finance	407	MBC/023/15 as an alternative for up to 5 units. Will be a planning application this year. Suggestion to put as an allocation.		Comments noted. The suggested capacity of the site is lower than the minimum to consider a site a potential Housing Allocation. If an application is approved for this site, this will be a windfall site.	None.
John Gibson	101	We consider the subject site, Land at The Rectory, Harby has the capacity to accommodate up to 5 new dwellings and associated infrastructure. Any proposed development scheme design could provide a range of dwelling types and sizes.		Comments noted. The suggested capacity of the site is lower than the minimum to consider a site a potential Housing Allocation. If an application is approved for this site, this will be a windfall site.	None.
Crofts Developments	384	The subject site (Appendix 1) will be submitted to Melton Borough Council for consideration via a planning application early 2017. We propose that the site is located within a suitable location with good access to local services.		Comments noted. Most of the site is covered by the combination of HAR4 and HAR5.	None.