

## Response ID ANON-13H4-7Y4A-5

Submitted to **Melton Local Plan Pre-Submission Draft**  
Submitted on **2016-12-15 15:26:22**

### About you

#### 1 What is your name?

Name:

Susan Love

#### 2 What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

#### 3 Are you responding as an individual, consultee, stakeholder or other?

Resident, Other

**If Consultee, Stakeholder, or Other, please give details here. :**

Sorry, this is a bit long - but please scroll along and read it all. I am a member of the Melton Local Plan Residents' Reference Group. I am also a member of the Bottesford Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group and have been working on the NP for the past 2 years. However, I am not replying on behalf of the BPNPSG. Alarmed by the numbers allocated to Bottesford, I understand that they have allied themselves with Bottesford Forum, and recommended that Bottesford Parish Council makes a limited response, mainly based on objections rather than a balanced and comprehensive response to the whole document which contains much that I think should be supported.

#### 4 Address

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

#### 5 Age

Please select your age:

[REDACTED]

### Chapter 1: Introduction

#### 1 CH1Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes, No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

#### 2 CH1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

2) Justified

**3 CH1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Chapter 1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Comments relate to Bottesford

1.7

I am a member of the MBC Residents' Reference Group. The Reference Group meetings were very imaginatively designed to enable small groups to explore policies and principles, and members of the MBC planning team were very helpful in explaining and exploring the issues both with the whole group and with small groups on separate tables.

However, in Rounds 5, 6, 7, and 8 meetings the different Reference Groups were amalgamated and so residents' and landowners' and developers' views were taken together. No formal declaration of financial interest was required at these meetings, so people who had hundreds of thousands of pounds to gain were making their points alongside ordinary residents who might not know their special position.

Attendance at the earlier Rounds 1,2,3, and 4 is revealing - 20-24 landowners and developers attended Rounds 1-3, but the attendance fell to only 12 when the topic was 'Design'. This I think is a major problem for existing residents in relation to new development. Sometimes it is not development, in itself, that is the problem, but the fear of lack of sensitivity to neighbouring properties and poor design.

For example, in Bottesford, residents have seen at 'The Wickets' the construction of an insensitive estate raised over 1.5 metres above the natural ground level and including 2.5 storey houses. It was rejected by the MBC planning Committee on 2 June 2014 -

"In pursuance of its powers under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, the Melton Borough Council refuses to approve the details referred to in Part 1 hereof in accordance with the application form and plans submitted, subject to the following reasons:-

1. The increase in the levels of the site would result in a form of development that fails to harmonise with its surroundings and would be out of keeping with the neighbouring development.

Accordingly it will not add to the quality of the area, nor does it respond adequately to local character or reflect the identity of local surroundings and would be contrary to Policies OS1 and BE1 of the Adopted Melton Local Plan or Part 7 of the NPPF 'Requiring Good Design'.

2. The proposed development, by virtue of its layout is considered to be of regimented urban form, inappropriate to and out of character with its village surroundings. The design will not add to the quality of the area, nor does it respond adequately to local character or reflect the identity of local surroundings. Accordingly the development would be contrary to Policies OS1 and BE1 of the Adopted Melton Local Plan or Part 7 of the NPPF 'Requiring Good Design'.

3. In the opinion of the local planning authority the proposed type of houses does not address the imbalance of stock type and size of dwellings required to reflect the housing needs of the area. The Housing Stock Analysis conducted in 2006 clearly demonstrates that there is a surplus of larger private market homes and a significant lack of smaller sized properties within Melton Borough and the north of the Borough. Accordingly the proposal fails to adequately contribute to a sustainable and balanced housing market and is therefore considered to be contrary to the objectives of the NPPF."

This type of development, unfortunately won on Appeal, inevitably negatively influences responses to development proposals in a village setting.

However, in connection with the development of the Rectory Farm site in Bottesford (Bot 4 ) the Bottesford Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group have taken up a very unusual opportunity offered by the land agent, Colin Wilkinson. He has commissioned a series of 6 workshops (including a site visit) , led by the urban designer Stefan Kruckowski\*, with members of the Bottesford Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (2 residents of adjacent, or nearly adjacent properties, have also been attending) to work on a concept master plan and design for the site which will meet local needs - e.g. for open public areas and play spaces ( the current estates in the West end of the village do not have them), much needed access to parking for the Methodist Church, links to footpaths and cycle routes for easy access both to the village centre and to an extension of the Sustrans route, and a green corridor along the north river bank. This opportunity for consultation is far in excess of the general provision by developers of a single open meeting where they display their plans. This consultation has begun with meeting local needs and a concern for the character of the area. Whilst we cannot, at this stage, ensure that we can secure the vision of this plan it seems to be a much more promising route to securing sensitive development than the customary approaches of other developers.

It's been about careful 'place-making' not just dumping houses in hard-edged lines along field boundaries with no regard for lay-out of existing properties.

\*Stefan Kruckowski is a Lecturer at Nottingham Trent University, author of 'Building for Life12', and also works with NW Leicestershire to raise the quality of design.

The Reference Groups and Bottesford housing numbers. In Round 7 on Housing Distribution despite the presence of developers, and the skewing of one of the small groups by the presence of the Barratt's representative (550 houses for Bottesford) with a special interest in Bottesford, an average of only 350 houses was recommended as the Bottesford allocation. This is a figure well below what emerged from the EO consultation into the DMLP as the allocation for Bottesford.

Overall I think the Reference Group consultation process has been good, and if it were not for the amalgamation of groups with the failure to insist on the declaration of financial interest by landowners and developers I should say it was excellent.

Not only have many Borough residents have had the chance to examine the opportunities and constraints of the planning process but concerns expressed by the RGs have been listened to and the problems raised addressed. This is evidenced in the excellent section in the MLP Policy D1 'Raising the Standard of Design'.

**4 CH1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 1 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 1 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

## **Chapter 2 - Melton Borough Today – A Portrait**

**1 CH2Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 2 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes, No

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

2) Justified

**3 CH2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy Chapter 2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Comments relate to Bottesford

The chapter is generally sound but it should be made clear that:

2.6.6 AS pointed out in responses to the EO doc. the library in Bottesford is only a Community Library.

2.1.3 There should be specific mention of Belvoir Castle as a tourist location.

**4 CH2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 2 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 2 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

2.6.6 Bottesford has only a Community Library.

2.1.3 Belvoir Castle is a significant tourist location.

### **Chapter 3: Vision and Strategic Priorities**

**1 CH3Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 3 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes, No

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

2) Justified

**3 CH3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

3.1.2 As stated above - The Reference Group meetings were very imaginatively designed to enable small groups to explore policies and principles, and members of the MBC planning team were very helpful in explaining and exploring the issues both with the whole group and with small groups on separate tables.

However, in Rounds 5, 6, 7, and 8 meetings the different Reference Groups were amalgamated and so residents' and landowners' and developers' views were taken together. No formal declaration of financial interest was required at these meetings, so people who had hundreds of thousands of pounds to gain were making their points alongside ordinary residents who might not know their special position.

Attendance at the earlier Rounds 1,2,3, and 4 is revealing - 20-24 landowners and developers attended Rounds 1-3, but the attendance fell to only 12 when the topic was 'Design'. This I think is a major problem for existing residents in relation to new development. Sometimes it is not development, in itself, that is the problem, but the lack of sensitivity to neighbouring properties and poor design

Interestingly in Round 7 on Housing Distribution despite the presence of developers, and the skewing of one of the small groups by the presence of the Barratt's representative (550 houses for Bottesford) with a special interest in Bottesford, an average of only 350 houses was recommended as the Bottesford allocation. This is a figure well below what emerged from the EO consultation as the allocation for Bottesford.

3.2.1

1 Ageing population in villages - will not affect the provision of pubic transport. Public transport workers are likely to be living in the town centres from which the services set out.

8. Absence of a large park in the n.e. of the Borough. Why is this perceived as a problem? This is a very urban view appropriate to an urban setting. Bottesford, e.g. has many green areas and children's play areas as well as easy access to countryside walks via a good network of footpaths, easy access to the Grantham canal towpath, and a skate park Why would we want a park? Parks are for towns.

I fully support the 'Environment Objectives'.

3.3.2 Revitalising Melton town centre - development of Bottesford will contribute nothing to this objective. Newark, Grantham and Nottingham are the main retail centres for the Bottesford population

6. BOTTESFORD FLOODS. I fully support the constraints on growth in the Borough because of Flood Risk. Bottesford has a serious flood problem . IT IS VERY LOW LYING AND HAS 2 FORDS.

My house at the southern end of Belvoir Rd was flooded in the 2001 flood which affected many parts of the village (Belvoir Rd and the centre of the village , and Easthorpe particularly). I am now on an automated telephone Flood Warning from the EA and received a Flood Warning as recently as 2012.

The development of the Barratt's estate on Belvoir Rd has increased my flood risk because:

- a. On this very flat landscape the direction of flow of the water in the fields opposite my house has been altered. The water flow used to divide almost opposite my house with water flowing south to the Winterbeck and north to the centre of the village. Barratts have raised the land they have developed by over 1.5 metres thus disrupting the natural flow from these fields. Water from the north of the original divide is now being brought south through their new dyke system to flow into the Winterbeck to the south of the site. The Winterbeck is a minor waterway which has to travel under a small bridge on Belvoir Rd. In 2012, even before the Barratt's developed their Belvoir Rd site the water reached the height of the bridge arch (photographic evidence can be supplied). Barratt's dyke provides a good opportunity for this water flow back down Belvoir Rd if it can't get under the bridge. The dyke exits into the Winterbeck just before the bridge.
- b. To make the situation worse their dyke enters a culvert opposite my house creating an opportunity for flooding.
- c. Houses on the west side of Belvoir Rd are lower than the newly developed fields opposite on the east.

**4 CH3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 3 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 3 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

Change 3.1.2 to - Reference Group Round 7 on average recommended only 350 houses for Bottesford. (See Evidence Base)

Delete 3.2.1. (8) for the reasons set out above.

## **Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough – The Spatial Strategy**

**1 CH4Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 4 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes, No

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

2) Justified

**3 CH4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Re p.12 of Appendix on Bottesford.

The use of the full Rectory Farm site. MBC has failed to challenge Historic England's comments on the Rectory Farm site (MBC BOT 4). The site has no visual connection with the heritage site near Easthorpe Manor and is adjacent to an industrial estate on the east side, 20c housing development to south and a railway line to the north. Ridge and furrow is plentiful around Bottesford and on balance with the good of making the most of the site and avoiding over dense development on the rest of the area there is no sound reason for not using the eastern part of the site.

What better use for the ridge and furrow in that area than to be used for allotments on the site? This would make the preservation of ridge and meaningful by returning them to their original use for food production.

The estimate of the flood risk area does not match what is shown on the Bottesford map on the MLP document, where most of the site is shown as in Flood Zone 1. This accords with the Local Flood Warden's assessment of the area as the most suitable location for development in the village.

The Rectory Farm site was selected by the Bottesford Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group following advice from our independent consultants from CABA (Brian Quinn and Professor Colin Haylock\* ) who walked the village, helped us to establish an idea of the village 'character', and ran several workshops with us.

This is an email from Brian Quinn sent to the Steering Group confirming the consultants' view of Rectory Farm -

"... we felt that that site was a particularly sensible location for the scheme given the principles we had talked through in the workshops –

- to preserve the arrival experience on the principal routes into Bottesford (avoiding the "wall of development" feel). This site would effectively not be directly visible from any of the principal routes.
- to benefit from proximity and easy walking and cycling access to the village centre and yet accommodate a significant amount of housing.
- the benefit of delivering the housing in sufficient volume to be a distinctive extension to the village and in particular to help deliver wider benefits such as the

delivery of meaningful new public space and opening up public access to the north bank of the river.

- The proximity to the commercial area to the East also brought potential long term benefits, if it was relocated to a periphery location nearer the bypass, to redevelop this area for further housing which would reduce the volume of HGVs coming through the village.
- To have a site that was less prone to flood risk than the site to the south of the village hall. " (26 April, 2016)

\*President of the Royal Town Planning Institute in 2012

Understandably, following recent development in Bottesford (see reply to section one on consultation) local residents are inclined to be wary of development. Bottesford Forum has organised a campaign against the Rectory Farm site from nearby residents on the basis of 'Remain a Village', and the wider aim of reducing the housing numbers allocated to Bottesford. The latter aim will undoubtedly attract much support, but their 2 leaflets circulated to village residents have contained inaccuracies. The Bottesford Forum's candidate's Parish Council election leaflet stated falsely that the housing numbers on Rectory Farm site had been reduced from 250 to 150 "by allocating part of the site to industrial development" (October 2016), and on 11 December a leaflet with a link to Bottesford Forum was delivered falsely claiming that the Rectory Farm site to be developed under MBC's plan was for 150 houses "plus significant industrial development." This leaflet also claims that "The public who formally commented to the Emerging Options and earlier draft plan have received no indication that their comments have been taken into account." The responses to public comments are available in a document which can be accessed online. Objections from residents on an existing housing estate to the development of another one behind them stirred up by inaccurate information should not be given much weight.

'Remain a Village'. Three of the many advantages of the Rectory Farm site (others listed earlier) are that it is contained, its development will not affect the approaches to the village, and Influence's "Areas of separation, Settlement Fringe Sensitivity and Local Green Space Study" on LCZ1 concludes that "the larger scale western half of the northern land parcel immediately south of the railway is less sensitive (medium sensitivity) by virtue of its enclosed, settlement influenced character." Given that Bottesford must take a fair share of the new housing necessary for the Borough the development of this site will help Bottesford to "remain a village".

Placemaking. The Bottesford Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group in attending the workshops led by Stefan Kruckowski, as stated earlier, have been doing their best to ensure sensitive development which mirrors the existing layout on the Bowbridge Gardens boundary and includes a green corridor. The site has topographical features - the winding river and areas to be avoided near the river - which will contribute to a soft and unregimented street layout.

The growth strategy for the whole Borough. This seems short-sighted. It encourages one centre, (flood prone Bottesford) to grow to the detriment of other areas, e.g. Waltham on the Wolds, which is nearer to Melton (so likely to contribute to Melton's economy), has no flood problems, and a reserve site which would take 168 houses. Other areas also have small reserve sites.

4.2.6 encourages 'communities to improve their sustainability'. Growth in some currently smaller centres could achieve this.

I fully support the rejection of all the rejected SHLAA sites in Bottesford, in particular the rejection of further development on the Belvoir Rd site for the reasons outlined earlier relating to water courses, land levels and flooding.

**4 CH4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 4 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 4 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

BOT4 - Change Appendix on Bottesford p. 12 to reflect the actual impact on heritage assets and the Bottesford Flood Zone map in Appendix 12. Use the whole of the site as mapped in the "Emerging Options" documents minus a very small part in the s.e. corner which is in a Conservation Area.

Add - The site has no visual connection with the heritage site near Easthorpe Manor. It is adjacent to an industrial estate on the east side, a large area of 20c housing development to south, and a railway line to the north. Ridge and furrow is plentiful around Bottesford and on balance with the good of making the most of the site and avoiding over dense development on the rest of the area there is good reason for using the eastern part of the site.

Change the area of the site to be developed to use the whole SHLAA site submitted. This would avoid the need for over-dense development of the rest of the site.

Most of the site is in Flood Zone 1. The Flood Zones to be avoided on the site are along the riverbank which will provide a pleasant green corridor through the site and link to existing river paths in the centre of the village.

4.2.21 Housing numbers allocation chart - revise the allocation to Bottesford downwards to 370 over the MLP period. Allocate another 168 houses to Waltham on the Wolds (reserve site) to address the shortfall.

## **Policy SS1 - Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development**

**1 CH4PSS1Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS1 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH4PSS1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH4PSS1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH4PSS1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy SS2 – Development Strategy**

**1 CH4PSS2Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS2 is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes, No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH4PSS2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH4PSS2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

Growth strategy for the whole Borough is short-sighted. It encourages one centre, (flood prone Bottesford) to grow to the detriment of other areas , e.g. Waltham on the Wolds, which is nearer to Melton ( so likely to contribute to Melton's economy), has no flood problems, and a reserve site which would take 168 houses. Other areas also have small reserve sites.

4.2.6 encourages 'communities to improve their sustainability'. Growth in some currently smaller centres could achieve this.

I fully support the rejection of all the rejected SHLAA sites in Bottesford, in particular the rejection of further development on the Belvoir Rd site for the reasons outlined earlier relating to water courses, land levels and flooding.

**4 CH4PSS2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

4.2.21 chart - revise the allocation to Bottesford significantly downwards.

Use the reserve site at Waltham on the Wolds and consider further development of Waltham to enable it to become more sustainable and maintain more services.

## **Policy SS3 – Sustainable Communities (unallocated sites)**

**1 CH4PSS3Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS3 is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH4PSS3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH4PSS3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH4PSS3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy SS6 – Alternative Development Strategies and Local Plan Review**

**1 CH4SS6Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS6 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes, No

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH4SS6Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

3) Effective

**3 CH4SS6Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS6 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS6 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

It would be good to see MBC being bold enough to take the decision to use Dalby airfield or Six Hills to create a new village. This would prevent mass builders from destroying the character of existing villages and bring opportunities for innovative green design - e.g. ground source heating, and alignment of houses to make the most of solar power.

At the Launch of the Melton Plan a slide was shown which was not consistent with the MLP doc., hard copy and online. The slide omitted Dalby airfield but included Bottesford as a site for a new village.

**4 CH4SS6Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Acknowledge and rectify the mistake made at the public Plan Launch.

## **Chapter 5: Melton's Communities – Strong, Healthy and Vibrant**

**1 CH5Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 5 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 5 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 5 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 5 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 5 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations**

**1 CH5PC1(A)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (A) is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH5PC1(A)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH5PC1(A)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

Reduce the housing allocation for Bottesford for the following reasons:

Flooded in 2001 and EA Flood Warning sent to residents in 2012.

Small village centre.

Congestion on roads which access the schools.

Unbalanced strategy for developing the Borough's communities by allocating too large a proportion of development to Bottesford. Allow other communities not constrained by flooding to grow so that they attract more services and facilities and become more sustainable.

The 'proportionate approach' is too blunt an instrument for housing allocation across the whole Borough. It ought to be more nuanced and include a recognition that some communities are already near to their optimum size and others could grow more in order to attract more services and facilities.

**4 CH5PC1(A)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Significantly reduce Bottesford housing allocation.

### **Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites**

**1 CH5PC1(B)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH5PC1(B)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH5PC1(B)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH5PC1(B)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as**



precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy C2 – Housing Mix**

1 CH5PC2Q1: Do you consider that Policy C2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy C3 – National Space Standard and Smaller Dwellings**

1 CH5PC3Q1: Do you consider that Policy C3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I would hope that the national space standards would be applied universally. It would be bad to plan to leave behind a legacy of cramped housing.

4 CH5PC3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

National space standards should be applied universally.

## **Policy C4 – Affordable Housing Provision**

1 CH5PC4Q1: Do you consider that Policy C4 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### Policy C5 – Affordable Housing through Rural Exception Sites

1 CH5PC5Q1: Do you consider that Policy C5 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C5 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C5 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### Policy C6 – Gypsies and Travellers

1 CH5PC6Q1: Do you consider that Policy C6 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC6Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC6Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C6 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C6 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC6Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy C7 – Rural Services**

1 CH5PC7Q1: Do you consider that Policy C7 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy C8 – Self Build and Custom Build Housing**

1 CH5PC8Q1: Do you consider that Policy C8 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC8Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C8 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy C9 – Healthy Communities**

1 CH5PC9Q1: Do you consider that Policy C9 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH5PC9Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH5PC9Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C9 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C9 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Comments relate to Bottesford

Development of the Rectory Farm site in Bottesford could bring money and opportunity to provide a footpath to the new football grounds on the Nottingham Rd. At present it is unsafe for children to access the football grounds unless taken by car.

Add to the list re healthy lifestyle that new developments should encourage fruit and vegetable growing:

a. by providing gardens that have sufficient light.

b. by imaginative negotiations with developers so that e.g. each new house has a fruit tree or bush. This cuts down on food miles - nothing is easier than a redcurrant bush or an apple tree - and encourages neighbours to get to know each other sharing produce from different bushes and trees.

Some of the offers to new householders are actually pointless - e.g. bus passes when the bus service is very poor.

The ridge and furrow on the east of the Rectory Farm site could be used for allotments - a return to its original purpose - Living history!

**4 CH5PC9Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

Encourage initiatives on new developments which help to reduce food miles.

## **Chapter 6: Melton's Economy – Strong and Competitive**

**1 CH6Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 6 is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH6Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 6 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 6 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

6.2 There is a fundamental problem with the NPPF objective of economic growth and the premise that Borough's economy needs to grow. The implication is that economic growth in itself is good for the Borough - not necessarily, economic growth produces more waste, creates insatiable demand for more infrastructure (building roads does not solve traffic problems just increases the traffic), and commits us to a producing more and more stuff which we have to be persuaded to consume to keep the system going. More equality in the distribution of wealth, and the direction of economic activity to green technologies, and activities which involve meaningful work, and a reduction in the frightening 'efficiency' which uses up the planet's finite resources would create a happier, more 'prosperous' in the best sense of the word, society.

Tim Jackson's influential book "Prosperity Without Growth: Economics for a Finite Planet" [Routledge. 2011] should underpin the Borough's vision for the economy.

It's impossible to change the NPPF and the whole direction of this section - but the point must be made.

**4 CH6Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 6 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 6 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EC1 – Employment Growth In Melton Mowbray**

1 CH6PEC1Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH6PEC1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH6PEC1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH6PEC1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EC2 – Employment Growth in the Rural Area (Outside Melton Mowbray)**

1 CH6PEC2Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH6PEC2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH6PEC2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH6PEC2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EC3 – Existing Employment Sites**

1 CH6PEC3Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH6PEC3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6PEC3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

Bottesford.

The Normanton Lane industrial site, adjacent to a conservation area and often accessed along Market St which has old houses fronting the pavement is a place in which no plan would now locate an industrial area. It derives from the fact that this area was once the village gas works.

A strategic plan over the next 20 years would be to move the industrial area gradually to the west of the village (Orston Lane0 near the by-pass, and release the current industrial area for housing.

**4 CH6PEC3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Omit Normanton Lane industrial site as a protected employment /industrial area.

## **Policy EC4 – Other Employment and Mixed-use Proposals**

**1 CH6PEC4Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC4 is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH6PEC4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6PEC4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH6PEC4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy EC5 - Melton Mowbray Town Centre**

**1 CH6PEC5Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC5 is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH6PEC5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6PEC5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Melton Local Plan or its**

**compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Support this policy, and I would like to see some specific mention for support of charity shops. Charity shops encourage recycling and upcycling thus helping to prevent waste, benefit charities, and benefit the psychological and social well-being of the people who give their time to volunteer.

I have seen a great deal of furniture (both for indoors and gardens) discarded in our local tip which could well have made some money for a charity and been re-used.

**4 CH6PEC5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

Add

6. Support charity shops through low or nil business rates and encourage their appropriate use in publicity sent out to Borough residents about refuse collection days.

## **Policy EC7 – Retail Development in the Borough**

**1 CH6PEC7Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC7 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH6PEC7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6PEC7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

**4 CH6PEC7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

## **Policy EC8 – Sustainable Tourism**

**1 CH6PEC8Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC8 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH6PEC8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH6PEC8Q4: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC8 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Belvoir Castle and the Vale of Belvoir are important tourist attractions. For most part of the year about 50 cyclists a day come through Belvoir Rd out to all the routes in the Vale.

The settings of the Vale villages should be protected to maintain this and the development of the Rectory Farm area in the north of the village will provide a good new Sustrans link to Kilvington.

Pleased to see that the significance of Belvoir castle has been recognised in this document.

**4 CH6PEC8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Chapter 7: Melton Borough's Environment – Protected and Enhanced**

**1 CH7Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 7 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

7.1.4 and 7.1.3 and 7.1.5 Fully support the "Influence' study on areas of separation and landscape sensitivity. I welcome the MLP as a means of ensuring that development comes without ruining the beautiful landscape and village settings which are a feature of the Borough.

7.1.6 Dark skies. Pleased to see the input from the astronomical societies has been recognised.

Can there be a way of lighting new development which sends light downwards and restricts the light spoiling the starry sky?

**4 CH7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 7 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 7 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy EN1 – Landscape**

**1 CH7PEN1Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN1 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

6. Fully support the role of NPs in achieving these objectives.

**4 CH7PEN1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally**



compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy EN2 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity**

**1 CH7PEN2Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN2 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

B2 Insist on holes for hedgehogs at the bottom of the panel fencing on new estates.

Where possible encourage the planting of hedges on new estates and discourage the dreadful parade of 6' panel fencing along the lines of tiny back gardens which makes them dark and creates no new habitat for wildlife. Hedgerows should not just be used for screening but should be integral to new estates.

**4 CH7PEN2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

Add a policy on fencing on new estates - hedgehog friendly.

And encourage more hedgerows on these estates for wildlife.

## **Policy EN3 – The Melton Green Infrastructure Network**

**1 CH7PEN3Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN3 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

**4 CH7PEN3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

## Policy EN4 – Areas of separation

1 CH7PEN4Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN4 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7PEN4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Fully support the AoS policy. These areas help to give the Borough its special rural character. Once lost the legacy for future generations is gone.

4 CH7PEN4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## Policy EN5 – Local Green Space

1 CH7PEN5Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN5 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7PEN5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN5 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN5 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Support the role of NPs to achieve these objectives.

4 CH7PEN5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## Policy EN6 – Settlement Character

1 CH7PEN6Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN6 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH7PEN6Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN6Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN6 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN6 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Settlement character - Brian Quinn and Professor Colin Haylock from CABE were very helpful in identifying the 'character' of Bottesford for the Steering Group. It has e.g. very rural, gradual approaches and fingers of countryside extending into the village.

The Rectory Farm site was selected by the Bottesford Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group following advice from our independent consultants from CABE (Brian Quinn and Professor Colin Haylock\* ) who walked the village, helped us to establish an idea of the village 'character', and ran several workshops with us.

This is an email from Brian Quinn sent to the Steering Group confirming the consultants' view of Rectory Farm -

"... we felt that that site was a particularly sensible location for the scheme given the principles we had talked through in the workshops –

- to preserve the arrival experience on the principal routes into Bottesford (avoiding the “wall of development” feel). This site would effectively not be directly visible from any of the principal routes.
- to benefit from proximity and easy walking and cycling access to the village centre and yet accommodate a significant amount of housing.
- the benefit of delivering the housing in sufficient volume to be a distinctive extension to the village and in particular to help deliver wider benefits such as the delivery of meaningful new public space and opening up public access to the north bank of the river.
- The proximity to the commercial area to the East also brought potential long term benefits, if it was relocated to a periphery location nearer the bypass, to redevelop this area for further housing which would reduce the volume of HGVs coming through the village.
- To have a site that was less prone to flood risk than the site to the south of the village hall. " (26 April, 2016)

\*President of the Royal Town Planning Institute in 2012

St Mary's Church and views of Belvoir Castle are significant features of the village and development on the edges is mainly low density and gradual with taller and more dense building near the centre.

**4 CH7PEN6Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Policy EN7 – Open Space, Sport and Recreation**

**1 CH7PEN7Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN7 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

A. New allotment space needs a larger than 50 house development for its provision. It could be conditioned as part of the Rectory Farm development in Bottesford if the whole site is used. Land adjacent to the industrial estate could be used for this purpose.

What better use for the ridge and furrow in the eastern part of the site area (as shown in the EO map) than to be used for allotments on the development? This would make the preservation of ridge and furrow meaningful by returning it to its original use for food production.

**4 CH7PEN7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **EN8 – Climate Change**

**1 CH7PEN8Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN8 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN8Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN8 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH7PEN8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EN9 – Ensuring Energy Efficient and Low Carbon Development**

**1 CH7PEN9Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN9 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN9Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN9Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN9 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN9 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH7PEN9Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EN10 – Energy Generation from Renewable Sources**

**1 CH7PEN10Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN10 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN10Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN10Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN10 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN10 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Fully support this section and particularly pleased to see the low wind turbine heights for the Vale of Belvoir.

**4 CH7PEN10Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy EN11 – Minimising the Risk of Flooding**

**1 CH7PEN11Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN11 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN11Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN11Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN11 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN11 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

Fully support all these objectives, and there should be added a condition about development not increasing flood risk to neighbouring older properties which do not have the high floor levels and other flood resisting features.

Pit and pipe drainage systems which create ugly attenuation features , erroneously called 'ponds', should be avoided, and more sophisticated modern drainage systems with swales and underground tanks should be encouraged.

**4 CH7PEN11Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Add -

Modern drainage systems using swales and underground tanks should be used instead of pit and pipe drainage systems which create no amenity value.

Properties near to new development should be assessed for flood risk in relation to their floor levels and flood protection features and new development not permitted if these older properties are put at greater risk from the development.

### **Policy EN12 – Sustainable Drainage Systems**

**1 CH7PEN12Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN12 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN12Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN12Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN12 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN12 or its**

compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Too many estates are being brought forward with ugly pit and pipe drainage solutions.

Drainage solutions should have an amenity benefit.

**4 CH7PEN12Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Modern drainage systems using swales should be encouraged on housing development. Pit and pipe systems should be relegated to use on industrial sites

## **Policy EN13 – Heritage Assets**

**1 CH7PEN13Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN13 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH7PEN13Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH7PEN13Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN13 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN13 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

**4 CH7PEN13Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## **Chapter 9: Managing Development**

**1 CH9Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 9 is?**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::**

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::**

Yes

**Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:**

**2 CH9Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH9Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 9 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 9 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

Brilliant! Absolutely support all aspects of D1 - it can be seen here how the consultation process has played into this document to make good design integral to the MLP. Following these principles will help to preserve the rural quality of the Borough, make development more acceptable to existing residents, and ensure a legacy of good living spaces for the future.

**4 CH9Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 9 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is**

incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 9 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy D1 - Raising the Standard of Design**

1 CH9PD1Q1: Do you consider that Policy D1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH9PD1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH9PD1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy D1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy D1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Brilliant! Absolutely approve of all the items in the D1 list and 9. It shows how consultation has influenced the MLP document to ensure good design.

This will both help to preserve the rural character of the Borough and make new development more acceptable to existing residents.

I hope all this adopted. Although the MLP is for 25 years the legacy of design and street layout will be left for more than a hundred years. The MLP is a great opportunity to ensure that the legacy is a good one.

4 CH9PD1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy D2 – Equestrian Development**

1 CH9PD2Q1: Do you consider that Policy D2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH9PD2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH9PD2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy D2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy D2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH9PD2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy D3 – Agricultural Workers' Dwellings**

1 CH9PD3Q1: Do you consider that Policy D3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH9PD3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH9PD3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy D3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy D3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Pleased to see that condition A will be required.

4 CH9PD3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## Monitoring Framework

1 MFQ1: Do you consider that the Monitoring Framework is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 MFQ2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 MFQ3: Please give details of why you consider that the Monitoring Framework is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Monitoring Framework or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 MFQ4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

## Policies Maps

1 PMQ1: Do you consider that the Policies Maps are?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes, No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 PMQ2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

2) Justified



**3 PMQ3: Please give details of why you consider that the Policy Maps are not legally compliant or unsound or fail to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Policy Maps or their compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

**Please insert text here. :**

I fully support part of the Policies Map for Bottesford - i.e. the rejection of the rejected sites, the designation of the Areas of Separation, and the inclusion of the Rectory Farm site and the Daybells Barns site for housing development

However, my support has the following reservations -

As stated earlier, with reasons, the full Rectory Farm site should be included as a development area.

Other housing development sites, now approved, should be removed so that Bottesford's overall housing allocation is reduced to 370 over the Plan period.

Consideration should be given to whether the Normanton Lane industrial site be protected as an employment for the long period of the Plan. If the site were gradually to be moved to the Orston Lane area of the village it would benefit the centre of the village, and Market St in particular, from the reduction in heavy traffic. Six axle vehicles now access this site. In the future the Normanton Lane area could become a good location for housing.

**4 PMQ4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:**

Extend Rectory Farm site to the east to use the whole area, apart from a small corner which lies in a Conservation Area.

Housing number allocation for Bottesford 370.

**5 PMQ5: Please indicate which Policy Maps your response relates to.**

**If multiple please indicate on separate lines for each. :**

## **Examination**

**1 EXQ1: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

Written Representations

**If you wish to speak at examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary::**

**2 EXQ2: Moreover please indicate if you wish to continue to be involved in the Local Plan (Please tick appropriate boxes).**

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Melton Local Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Inspector's Report is available to view, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Melton Local Plan is adopted, If you/your organisation wish to be included in future consultations on the Melton Local Plan

## **Acknowledgement**

**1 I understand the above statement and agree I have complied with its requirements**

I agree