FOCUSED CHANGES RESPONSES TO REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED: FC4 - Easthorpe

Representor Name	Focused Change/Policy Ref	Summary of Representation	MBC Response
Historic England	EAST1.2	The inclusion of the track between sites EAST1 and EAST2 is welcomed if this will form access to the sites. There are strong concerns regarding the impact of EAST1 and EAST2 upon the scheduled monument immediately to the north, the 'Shifted medieval village earthworks and moat at Easthorpe' (1009195) and subsequently the soundness of the Plan. The policy for EAST1 and EAST2 should include criteria referencing the scheduled monument to ensure that the existing frontage planting is retained and that access is taken off the track between the sites EAST1 and EAST2, rather than the existing frontage, which forms the setting of the scheduled monument.	The preamble prior to EAST1 and EAST2 references the SAM. The Council will work with HE to prepare a Statement of Common Ground, to be submitted to the LP Inspector prior to the LP Examination Hearings to address this representation.
Susan Love	EAST2	Extends housing into an Area of Separation and flood Risk - the site is unacceptabel for housing because the Planning Applicant's own flood report recommend that the inhabitants join the Environment Agency flood warning system to ensure that they evacuate the site prior to the road becoming unsafe to egress." Seeks deletion of the site.	1
W R Davidge Planning obo Mr D and Mrs L Henson	EAST1 and EAST 2	Previously submitted land to the south of EAST1 is preferable to EAST 2 as it is within a residential curtilage, and would come forward as part of EAST1.	The amendments made in 'Focussed Changes' were limited to the cpacity of EASt 1 and very minor changes to the introductiry test in 'Appendix 1'. This comment does not relate to those changes. The housing site assessments underpinning Focused Change 4 were based on the most up to date information and data that was available on a comparable basis across the whole of the Borough at the time, for a relevant range of sustainability, suitability and achievability factors. The Council consider this to be adequate and proportionate evidence, as per NPPF para. 158. Site selction have been made on this basis, on which EASt1 and ARST2 were selected.