

Response ID BHLF-MWX6-MJT9-P

Submitted to Melton Local Plan Update: Issues and Options Consultation
Submitted on 2024-01-08 15:25:57

Introduction

Definitions

How to use the Issues and Options

About you

What is your name?

Name:

Lindsay Ramsden BSc (Hons) MRTPI

What is your organisation? (if relevant)

Organisation:

Avant Homes

What is your Job Title/Role (if relevant)

Job title/role:

Group Strategic Land Director

What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

Are you making a submission on behalf of someone else?

No

If you are submitting on behalf of someone else, please provide details:

Name (on behalf of):

Organisation (on behalf of):

About you (equalities questions)

Please provide the first 5 digits of your Postcode (for example LE13 1).

Enter the first 5 digits of your postcode:

Gender: How do you identify?

[REDACTED]

If self-describe, please state :

Would you describe yourself as transgender?

[REDACTED]

What is your sexual orientation?

[REDACTED]

If other, please state:

What is your age?

[REDACTED]

Do you consider yourself to have a health problem or a disability which has lasted, or is expected to last at least 12 months?

[REDACTED]

If you selected other, please state:

What is your ethnic origin?

Please describe your ethnicity and race:

What is your religion?

[REDACTED]

Please state your religion:

Vision and objectives

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 1: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 1 - Option 1: No change:

Question 1 - Option 2: Refocused and simplified version [preferred option]:

Question 2: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

On behalf of Avant Homes, please find below our response to the Melton Issues and Options Local Plan consultation (on consultation between Monday 6th November 2023 and Sunday 7th January 2024).

Avant Homes is a privately owned housebuilder operating from eight offices located in the Midlands, Yorkshire, the North East, and Scotland. Most recently we have also opened a North West Office. The business sold 2,490 homes in the financial year ending 2022, and therefore is an established representative of the housebuilding industry in England and Scotland.

For ease of reference, Avant have only responded to the questions raise relevant issues to Avant, housebuilding and/or our land interest at Sysonby Lodge Farm, Melton, as per the Plan attached.

Question 1 (Vision)- Avant Homes would support Option 2, with the amendments identified in response to Question 2.

Question 2 (Vision) - The refocused and simplified version of the Vision, should state: 'Ensure local housing meets the local communities current and future needs, including providing for a range of market and affordable housing and taking into account employment growth aspirations'.

I trust that the above comments are of interest, will be registered accordingly and we will be kept informed of future consultations. In the meantime, should the Council have any queries on the matters raised or wish to discuss our land interest at Sysonby Lodge Farm further, then please do not hesitate to contact us.

Question 3: What do you think are the most important objectives to be covered by our Vision? Please select your top 3

Question 3 - Improving facilities for all of the community and providing the new infrastructure needed to support our growing population:

Question 3 - Addressing the causes and effects of climate change:

Question 3 - Ensure local housing meets the local communities current and future needs:

Question 3 - Supporting a diverse, competitive and innovative rural economy:

Question 3 - Enhancing Melton Mowbray's town centre:

Question 3 - Promoting high quality and well-designed development to help create healthy, sustainable and safe communities:

Question 3 - Enhancing nature and minimising harm to the natural environment:

Question 3 - Other (please specify below):

Please, provide further context:

Question 3 (Vision) – Ranked in order:

- Ensure local housing meets the local communities current and future needs (including providing for a range of market and affordable housing);
- Improving facilities for all of the community and providing new infrastructure needed to support our growing population; and,
- Other – creating an environment where employment and the local economy can thrive, in symmetry with new residential development and sustainable growth.

Policy SS1. Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

Policy SS1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 4: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 4 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 4 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 5: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 4/5 (Policy SS1) - Avant consider that the policy should be retained but updated to refer to the requirements of the NPPF, including the latest NPPF consultation which was published in December 2023. It is important to note that any update remains in consistence with the latest National Planning Guidance.

Policy SS3. Sustainable Communities (unallocated sites)

Policy SS3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 6: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 6 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 6 - Option 2: Review the policy to better define meeting local need:

Question 6 - Option 3: Review the policy to enhance wider sustainability [preferred option]:

Question 7: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 6 -9 (Policy SS3) – Whilst it is considered helpful to have a specific rural settlement policy, the context of this policy needs to be considered in relation to the Settlement hierarchy which has been established (with suitable evidence/review of each settlement facilities/potential to expand etc). The spatial hierarchy will then determine what percentage of the housing supply should be accommodated in rural settlements. It would also be advantageous to identify specific sites in such locations. Medium builders, such as Avant, would be interested in developing sites over 30 dwellings and therefore delivery is likely to be more achievable/likely if sites were allocated in the Plan. If allocations in more rural settlements are left entirely to the development of Neighbourhood Plans or community led strategies, then it may be likely that sites are not delivered to their full potential and/or additional infrastructure requirements/local housing need requirements are not fully considered/met.

Question 8: Under what circumstances do you think new homes in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements are justified?

Please, provide further context:

Question 9: Do you think criteria should be introduced to require homes built in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements to be built to the highest sustainability standards? If yes, what types of criteria do you think the policy should consider?

Please, provide further context:

Policy SS4. South Melton Mowbray Sustainable Neighbourhoods

Policy SS4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 10: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 10 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 10 - Option 2: Amend to reflect the 2021 Masterplan [preferred option]:

Question 11: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Questions 10/11 (Policy SS4) - The key consideration for whether this policy should remain within the Plan relates to delivery of the scheme. It is understood that both the County Council and Melton MBC wish to see this scheme come forward, to compliment the North Sustainable Neighbourhood.

However, it is clear that the viability and the funding of the Southern scheme has not been finalised in the period since adoption of the Local Plan. Indeed, it would seem that dates agreed with Homes England for the funding requirements have been missed and that the delivery/infrastructure costs of the scheme have escalated significantly. It is also noted that at a Cabinet meeting dated 24th October, LCC reported the following position and approach to the Melton South proposal:

- A) The County Council remain committed to delivering the scheme;
- B) Homes England was to be informed that 'despite best efforts of the County Council it is unable to meet the terms and conditions within the Grant determination Agreement in order to draw down any further grant monies from the Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF);
- C) The County Council's Capital Programme 2023 - 27 be amended to include funding for development of an alternative delivery strategy (£0.5m), costs incurred on programme which will be unrecovered (£1.3m), and withdrawal of the existing strategy (£1.7m) for the MMDR S at a likely total cost of £3.5m;
- D) The Director of Environment and Transport and the Director of Corporate to pursue discussions to seek additional funding alongside MBC, the local Member of Parliament, the Department for Transport and developers.

In light of this position, it is considered imperative that the Council explain and provide evidence in the next stages of the Plan that the Southern Sustainable Neighbourhood is likely to be delivered within the timescales of the Local Plan Review. If the Melton South Neighbourhood is not going to be delivered within the previously expected timescales, then further allocations and sites need to be identified. In this respect, the Council should prepare and issue the site trajectory for the Local Plan sites.

If additional sites are required, then the authority should consider utilising the infrastructure being progressed as part of the Melton North Sustainable Neighbourhood and release land to the northern side of the Link Road (i.e. land at Sysonby Lodge Farm). This land is readily available for development, with no known viability issues.

Policy SS5. Melton Mowbray North Sustainable Neighbourhood

Policy SS5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 12: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 12 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 12 - Option 2: Amend to reflect the 2021 Masterplan [preferred option]:

Question 13: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 12/13 (Policy SS5) – If the Melton North Sustainable Neighbourhood has been proven to be viable and deliverable then it should remain within the Plan. However, the Council should prepare a trajectory of the output to show that the initial delivery Plan remains up to date.

Policy SS6. Alternative Development Strategies and Local Plan Review

Policy SS6: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 14: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 14 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 14 - Option 2: Reduce to locally specific criteria only [preferred option]:

Question 14 - Option 3: Additional criteria:

Question 15: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 14 – 15 (Policy SS6) – The NPPF requires LPA's to plan, monitor and manage their approach to plan making and housing delivery. Avant consider that is not acceptable for any Plan Review to be only influenced by lack of housing delivery. There are many other factors including shortfalls in the delivery of employment, infrastructure or spatial distribution factors that play a wider role. In addition, it should be recognised within the Plan Review that housing need and requirement figures are minimum (not maximum) figures. Also taking into account the large quantity of the housing supply anticipated from the North and South Sustainable Neighbourhoods (the latter of which is more than likely going to be delayed as a minimum), the Council should be seeking to identify reserve development sites which can be delivered in the intervening period. The land at Sysonby Lodge farm would be a suitable reserve development site.

Furthermore, there is no reference to the Leicestershire Housing Market Area and the potential impact that this has on the Melton housing requirement. Avant consider that the Council should be looking to identify opportunities for additional sites, which can help to meet the needs of the wider Housing Market Area. When considering additional/reserved sites, then the authority should consider utilising the infrastructure being progressed as part of the Melton North Sustainable Neighbourhood and release land to the northern side of the Link Road (i.e, land at Sysonby Lodge Farm).

Definitions

Policy C2. Housing Mix

Policy C2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 16: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 16 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 16 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 17: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policyPlease, provide further context

Please, provide further context:

Question 16 – 17 (Policy C2) – Avant support the requirement for the Plan to deliver a mix of house types and sizes. However, Avant do not support the inclusion of Table 8 (or any updated version) within the Policy itself. It is important that flexibility is contained to allow specific site and market considerations to be taken into account

- both of these factors could change over time.

Policy C3. National Space Standard and Smaller Dwellings

Policy C3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 18: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 18 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 18 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 19: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy C4. Affordable Housing Provision

Policy C4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 20: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 20 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 20 - Option 2: Amend the policy to reflect National Planning Policy Framework and new evidence [preferred option]:

Question 21: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 20/21 (Policy C4) - Avanti do not support the identified range for Melton Mowbray of between 5 – 10%. This is not in accordance with National Guidance (PPG) which states:

'Policy requirements should be clear so that they can be accurately accounted for in the price paid for the land. To provide this certainty, affordable housing requirements should be expressed as a single figure, rather than a range...'

On this basis, the Council should review the evidence base and determine a single figure for the minimum affordable percentage for Melton.

Definitions

Policy C7. Rural Services

Policy C7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 22: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 22 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 22 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 23: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy C8. Self Build and Custom Build Housing

Policy C8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 24: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 24 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 24 - Option 2: Address increasing needs:

Question 24 - Option 3: Address increasing needs and add local-specific criteria [preferred option]:

Question 24 - Option 4: Adding the two optional local eligibility tests:

Question 25: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 24/25 (Policy C8) – Avant do not support the reduction in the Threshold for self-build plots to be reduced to 20 dwellings or more. This has potential to stifle development on small sites and will add unnecessary complexity and delay for small developers. In addition, the location of plots should not be identified to be on the entrance to the scheme, as this has potential to damage any sales entrance/presentation into any larger scheme if delays are encountered on the selling and building of individual plots and could end up being a 'mix-match' of designs of the street frontage, through differences in characteristics and quality of build etc. Avant consider that it should remain for the builder of the wider site to establish the most suitable location for the self-build plots.

Policy C9. Healthy Communities

Policy C9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 26: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 26 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 26 - Option 2: Revise the policy but also make health and wellbeing a key thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:

Question 27: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 28: Do you think the Local Plan should require Health Impact Assessments for large scale developments?

Not Answered

Question 29: If you answered 'yes' to question 28, what size and types of development do you think should require them and why?

Please, provide further context:

Policy EC1. Employment Growth in Melton Mowbray

Policy EC1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 30: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 30 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 30 - Option 2: Amendments to reflect Use Class Order, new evidence and National Planning Policy Framework:

Question 30 - Option 3: Create separate policies for employment allocations and employment development in Melton Mowbray:

Question 31: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 32: Unless submitted already as part of the Employment-only Call for Sites (June-July 2023), is there any employment site you want us to consider as a potential allocation? If there is, please submit the details, including a location plan showing the boundaries to planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC2. Employment Growth in the Rural Area (Outside Melton Mowbray)

Policy EC2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 33: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 33 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 33 - Option 2: Policy Wording Amendments [preferred option]:

Question 34: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EC3. Existing Employment Sites

Policy EC3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 35: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 35 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 35 - Option 2: Add specific class uses to policies:

Question 35 - Option 3: Adding Flexibility to the Policy:

Question 36: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC4. Other Employment and Mixed-use Proposals

Policy EC4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 37: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 37 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 37 - Option 2: Wording amendments and define Mixed-use Development:

Question 37 - Option 3: Split the Policy:

Question 38: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Town Centre and Retail Evidence

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 39: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 39 - Option 1: Focus on Melton Mowbray Town Centre:

Question 39 - Option 2: Carry out a full Town Centre and Retail Study including needs assessments:

Question 40: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC5. Melton Mowbray Town Centre

Policy EC5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 41: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 41 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 41 - Option 2: Update and incorporate elements of the Town Centre Vision [preferred option]:

Question 42: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC6. Primary Shopping Frontages

Policy EC6: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 43: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 43 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 43 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 44: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC7. Retail Development in the Borough

Policy EC7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 45: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 45 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 45 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 46: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EC8. Sustainable Tourism

Policy EC8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 47: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 47 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 47 - Option 2: Clarify the policy to focus on socio-economic benefits:

Question 47 - Option 3: Amend the Policy to define sustainable tourism:

Question 48: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EN2. Biodiversity and Geodiversity

Policy EN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 49: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 49 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 49 - Option 2: Amend the policy:

Question 49 - Option 3: Split the policy:

Question 50: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 49 (Policy EN2) – There remains ongoing changes to the National policy position on BNG policy. However, Avant consider that it is important that the Council do not seek to deviate from the Government policy position in advance of the latest policy and guidance being finalised, and that any benchmark should not be set above the 10% biodiversity net gain position, as set out in the Environment Act. Developers and landowners incur significant costs with meeting the 10% provision and it is important to ensure that BNG does not prevent, delay or reduce housing delivery.

In addition, it should be noted that large and complex sites where the development is phased, should not be obligated to deliver 10% BNG on each phase, as the guidance is clear that the 10% BNG requirement must be delivered at the end of the development.

Definitions

Policy EN3. The Melton Green Infrastructure Network

Policy EN3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 51: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 51 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 51 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 52: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN5. Local Green Spaces

Policy EN5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 53: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 53 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 53 - Option 2: Incorporate Green Belt criteria:

Question 53 - Option 3: Designate additional Local Green Spaces:

Question 54: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 55: If you wish to propose a new area for Local Green Space, please send a map and supporting information to planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN7. Open Space, Sport and Recreation

Policy EN7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 56: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 56 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 56 - Option 2: Update the policy, particularly the standards [preferred option]:

Question 57: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN8. Climate Change

Policy EN8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 58: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 58 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 58 - Option 2: Delete the policy, and make climate change a 'core thread' that runs throughout the entire plan:

Question 58 - Option 3: Retain but update policy EN8 and make climate change a core thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:

Question 59: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN9. Ensuring Energy Efficiency and Low Carbon Development

Policy EN9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 60: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 60 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 60 - Option 2: Refocus the policy and split it into new more specific policies as required [Preferred approach]:

Question 60 - Option 3: Make the policy more robust and specific, to ensure all new development meets the highest standards:

Question 61: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN10. Energy Generation from Renewable and Low Carbon Sources

Policy EN10: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 62: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 62 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 62 - Option 2: Review the policy to ensure it works well for all types of renewable energy schemes [preferred approach]:

Question 63: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy EN11. Minimising the Risk of Flooding

Policy EN11: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 64: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 64 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 64 - Option 2: Add new elements of national policy:

Question 64 - Option 3: Restrict policy to strategic overview and local matters:

Question 65: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy EN12. Sustainable Drainage Systems

Policy EN12: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 66: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 66 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 66 - Option 2: Incorporate additional requirements:

Question 67: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy IN1. Melton Mowbray Transport Strategy

Policy IN1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 68: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 68 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 68 - Option 2: Reflect the latest position in the policy [preferred option]:

Question 69: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 68/69 (Policy IN1) – As per earlier comments, delivery and the viability of the infrastructure required to delivery of the MMTS needs to be updated and provided for consultation. If the requirements cannot be delivered, then further sites need to be identified to ensure that adequate housing delivery continues to be met.

Definitions

Policy IN2. Transport, Accessibility and Parking

Policy IN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 70: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 70 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 70 - Option 2: Amend policy wording to align with national and local guidance [preferred option]:

Question 71: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy IN4. Broadband

Policy IN4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 72: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 72 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 72 - Option 2: Amend policy [preferred option]:

Question 73: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

Policy D1. Raising the Standard of Design

Policy D1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 74: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 74 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 74 - Option 2: Review and strengthen policy so it sets out strategic principles for high quality new development [preferred option]:

Question 75: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Question 76: Do you think the current design policy criteria covers all design issues adequately, that the current policy works well? Would you like to suggest any criteria to be added or removed from the policy?

Please, provide further context:

Question 77: How important do you think each of the following design considerations are for a new development?

Question 77 - Attractiveness: creating a pleasant environment to live and work:

Question 77 - Sensitive to context: responds well to its surroundings:

Question 77 - Distinctiveness: builds upon the unique characteristics of its surroundings and creates a sense of place in itself (design features such as scale, massing, materials, landscaping and architectural detailing):

Question 77 - Neighbour amenity: does not adversely affect neighbours and nearby uses:

Question 77 - Legible places: places that are easily understood by their users, particularly when moving around.:

Question 77 - Connectedness: created new and weaves into existing networks:

Question 77 - Comprehensive: ensuring development is designed and delivered in a coordinated way, and avoiding piecemeal schemes:

Question 77 - Safe and attractive streets and spaces: create spaces and environment that feels safe and secure to be in.:

Question 77 - Environmental sustainability and adapting to climate change:

Question 77 - Mix of uses: the right range of uses and densities:

Question 77 - Protecting and enhancing heritage assets:

Question 77 - Car parking:

Question 77 - Community consultation: opportunities for community to get involved and help shape development proposals:

Question 77 - Other: please state below any other key design considerations not highlighted above:

Please, provide further context:

Question 78: Do you think there is a need for specific policy guidance about the use of design coding within the local plan?

Not Answered

Question 79: If you responded 'yes' to question 78, please provide reasons?

Please, provide further context:

Equalities Impact

Question 80: Do you have any views on the potential impact of the proposals raised in this consultation on people with protected characteristics as defined in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010?

Not Answered

Question 81: If you responded 'yes'/'unsure' to question 80, please provide your reasons and whether there is anything that you think could be done to mitigate any impacts identified

Please, provide further context:

Appendix A. Summary of the conclusions of the Local Plan Review

Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please use the comment box below to provide any information you would like us to consider in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please, provide a reference to the section and your comments: