Focused Change No 4 - Hose

Appendix 1 (d)(xi)

FOCUSED CHANGES RESPONSES TO REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED: FC4 - Hose

Representor Name	Focused Change/Policy Ref	Summary of Representation	MBC Response
Martin Taylor	FC4 - HOS2, HOS3 & HOS2	Objection to the deallocation of former HOS2 and HOS3. Objection to HOS2. Alignment with NP.	Alignment of the Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan will occur through relevant examinations. The housing site assessments underpinning Focused Change 4 were based on the most up to date information and data that was available on a comparable basis across the whole of the Borough at the time, for a relevant range of sustainability, suitability and achievability factors. The Council consider this to be adequate and proportionate evidence, as per NPPF para. 158.
Maurice Fairhurst obo George Stroud	HOS2	Support to HOS2.	Comments noted
Nathan Jones	Hose	Objection to the increased number of houses in Long Clawson, Hose and Harby. Numbers should be as the NP is. Objection to the removal of former HOS2 and HOS3 and addition of HOS2.	Alignment of the Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan will occur through relevant examinations. HEDNA includes a range of housing requirements for Melton from 170 - 280 per annum. The NP is based on 170 per annum but still employs the LP approach to distribution; no rationale has been provided to support the view that the lower HEDNA figure (170) should be adopted, nor is it explained how this would assist the Plan's objectives (which have not been contested) or why this approach to distribution remains appropriate or the lower figure, when clearly it would undermine the objectives of the Plan The LP is based on 245 per annum for a series of reasons including the scale of intervention desired in order to satisfy the Plan's objectives, provision of affordable housing, to provide 'insulation' for any unmet need arising and to deliver the 'boost to housing supply' required by the NPPF (its lower range would be a reduction, not a boost). A review of the assessments and the inclusion of new sites have allowed us to consider HOS2 and the extension of HOS1 as better options than the formers HOS2 and HOS3. The housing site assessments underpinning Focused Change 4 were based on the most up to date information and data that was available on a comparable basis across the whole of the Borough at the time, for a relevant range of sustainability, suitability and achievability factors. The Council consider this to be adequate and proportionate evidence, as per NPPF para. 158.
Nicholas Houghton	FC4 - Former HOS2, HOS3 & n	Support to the deallocation of formers HOS2 & HOS3. Support to HOS2	Supporting comments noted.
Elizabeth Crowther (LHH PC)	FC4 Hose	Deallocation of HOS2. Reallocation of former HOS2. Former HOS3 as reserve site	The housing site assessments underpinning Focused Change 4 were based on the most up to date information and data that was available on a comparable basis across the whole of the Borough at the time, for a relevant range of sustainability, suitability and achievability factors. The Council consider this to be adequate and proportionate evidence, as per NPPF para. 158. Conservation suggests that the heritage issues can and should be mitigated in HOS2 as stated in the site's policy.
Adam Murray	HOS1	FC1 – SS2. Support to the removal of limits on unallocated sites. Support to FC4 HOS1. Support to FC5. Support FC6 (11 dwellings for Affordable Homes) but too restrictive and should set a minimum of 1300 new affordable homes.	Comments noted. Policy C4 states we will seek to manage the delivery of 'around'1300. This is neither a minimum or a maximum.