



**MELTON LOCAL PLAN – ADDENDUM OF FOCUSED CHANGES  
(JULY 2017)**

For official use only
Respondent Ref:
Date Received:

The focused changes being proposed in the Melton Local Plan have been split into the main themes that are being addressed since our last consultation in November 2016:

FOCUSED CHANGE DESCRIPTION	FC NUMBER
1. Spatial strategy FC1.1 to FC1.3 (see schedule of the proposed focused changes)	FC1
2. Sustainable neighbourhoods FC2.1 Policy SS4 FC2.2 Policy SS5	FC2
3. Growth strategy	FC3
4. <b>Housing site allocations**</b> FC4.1 Section 5.4, C1 (A) & C1 (B) FC4.2 Appendix 1	FC4
5. Housing mix	FC5
6. Affordable housing	FC6
7. Gypsies and travellers	FC7
8. Economy	FC8
9. Indoor sports & recreation	FC9
10. Infrastructure delivery plan	FC10
11. Melton transport strategy	FC11
12. Developer contributions	FC12
13. Policies map 13.1 Revised boundary of southern sustainable neighbourhood 13.2 Addition of corridor of investigation/interest for the Melton Mowbray Distributor Road	FC13

**\*\*PLEASE SUBMIT 1 REPRESENTATION FORM PER FOCUSED CHANGE (FC)\*\***

**\*\*To comment on HOUSING SITE ALLOCATIONS, please scroll down to the end of this form\*\***

To view more specific policy numbers, please refer to the relevant FC number using the reports on the website at: [www.meltonplan.co.uk/focussed-changes-to-plan](http://www.meltonplan.co.uk/focussed-changes-to-plan)

**What ‘soundness’ means**

The Local Plan will be examined by an independent inspector whose role is to assess whether the plan has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. A local planning authority should submit a plan for examination which it considers is “sound” – namely that it is:

- **Positively prepared** – the plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development;
- **Justified** – the plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence;
- **Effective** – the plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities; and

- **Consistent with national policy** – the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework.



**MELTON LOCAL PLAN – ADDENDUM OF FOCUSED CHANGES  
(JULY 2017)**

For official use only
Respondent Ref:
Date Received:

Melton Borough Council proposes to submit the Melton Local Plan (MLP) to the Secretary of State of Communities and Local Government for independent examination. Before submitting the MLP, the Council is required to publish the document and invite the public to make representations on its 'soundness'. An addendum of 'Focused Changes' (FC) has been published since the last consultation in November 2016, and the Council is now consulting on this addendum. The MLP, once adopted will be the development plan for Melton Borough.

This form has two parts:

- Part A: Personal Details
- Parts B and C: Your representation(s).

When making representations, **please use a separate Part B form for focused change you wish to comment on. Before completing the form you should read the accompanying Guide to Making Representations.** Please ensure that your representation relates to the correct test of soundness (details can be found in the Guide to Making Representations)

Completed forms should be returned to the address below no later than **23<sup>rd</sup> August 2017**. **Representations received after this deadline will not be accepted.**

Planning Policy Team  
Regulatory Services  
Melton Borough Council  
Parkside, Station Approach  
Melton Mowbray  
Leicestershire  
LE13 1GH

Alternatively, you can access this form on the Council's website <https://www.meltonplan.co.uk/focussed-changes-to-plan> & print it out or complete it electronically and e-mail your response to [planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk)

Representations can also be made via the Council's on-line consultation portal - <https://meltonboroughcouncil.citizenspace.com/planning-policy-team/copy-of-focussedchanges-addendum-consultation-s/>

**PART A: ABOUT YOU/YOUR ORGANISATION** (if you are an agent, please complete the personal details of your client in 1 and complete agent's details in 2).

<b>Personal Details</b>
Full Name:
Nathan Jones
Organisation (if applicable)
Address:
Postcode:
Email:
Contact Number:

<b>2. Agent's Details (if applicable)</b>
Full Name & Company:
Organisation/Client Representations on Behalf Of
Address:
Postcode:
Email:
Contact Number:
Number of Representations Enclosed:

Signature:

Date:

21 August 2017

(PART B)

**PART B: YOUR REPRESENTATION** (This section will need to be completed for each representation made. Please photocopy or download from the council's website Part B of the form as required.)

Which part of the Melton Local Plan: focused changes addendum does your representation relate to? (Please enter the policy number)

FC number:

TBC

Policy number:

TBC

Do you believe that this policy/section of the Melton Local Plan focused change is? (Please tick the appropriate box)

1. Legally Compliant: Yes  No
2. Sound: Yes  No
3. Complies with Duty to Co-operate: Yes  No

\*The considerations in relation to the Local Plan being "sound" are explained in paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework. If you have entered "No" in relation to 4(2), please go to question 5. In all other circumstances, please go to question 6

Do you consider that the focused change is unsound because it is not any of the following? (Please tick the appropriate box)

1. Positively Prepared  2. Justified  3. Effective  4. Consistent with National Policy

Please give details of why you consider this focused change is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of this policy or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

I object to the increase to the number of dwellings allocated to Hose in the Focused Changes. I support the number of houses as set out in the Clawson, Hose & Harby Neighbourhood Plan (Regulation 16 Consultation version). A detailed assessment of my concerns and errors or flaws in MBC's collation and interpretation of information on which they proposed the Focused changes as they apply to hose is appended to this form and headed "Focused Changes Consultation Response on Nathan Jones" which runs to 7 pages of text

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the focused change legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the suggested focused change legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

The number of dwellings allocated to Hose should at least be as set out in the pre-focused changes Melton Local Plan that was issued for consultation. It would be preferable that the number of dwellings for Hose is actually the figure stated in the Clawson, Hose & Harby Neighbourhood Plan (Regulation 16 Consultation version). Further details concerning this are set out in the document appended to this form as mentioned above.

Signature:

[Signature box]

Date:

21 August 2017

(PART B)

**PART B: YOUR REPRESENTATION** (This section will need to be completed for each representation made. Please photocopy or download from the council's website Part B of the form as required.)

Which part of the Melton Local Plan: focused changes addendum does your representation relate to? (Please enter the policy number)

FC number:

TBC

Policy number:

TBC

Do you believe that this policy/section of the Melton Local Plan focused change is? (Please tick the appropriate box)

- 1. Legally Compliant: Yes  No
- 2. Sound: Yes  No
- 3. Complies with Duty to Co-operate: Yes  No

\*The considerations in relation to the Local Plan being "sound" are explained in paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework. If you have entered "No" in relation to 4(2), please go to question 5. In all other circumstances, please go to question 6

Do you consider that the focused change is unsound because it is not any of the following? (Please tick the appropriate box)

- 5. Positively Prepared
- 6. Justified
- 7. Effective
- 8. Consistent with National Policy

Please give details of why you consider this focused change is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of this policy or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

I object to the allocation of the site named HOS2 in the Focused Changes, and the deallocation of the sites named HOS2 and HOS3 in the Melton Local Plan that was subject to consultation. A detailed assessment of my concerns and errors or flaws in MBC's collation and interpretation of information on which they proposed the Focused changes as they apply to hose is appended to this form and headed "Focused Changes Consultation Response on Nathan Jones" which runs to 7 pages of text

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the focused change legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the suggested focused change legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

I consider that the site named HOS2 in the Focused Changes consultation should not be allocated (and should not have any permission for building), but the sites named HOS2 and HOS3 in the Melton Local Plan that was issued for consultation should remain allocated. Furthermore, I believe that the allocation of these sites should follow the proposals set out in Clawson, Hose & Harby Neighbourhood Plan (Regulation 16 Consultation version, which subject to extensive consultation and engagement with the local community. Further details concerning this are set out in the document appended to this form as mentioned above.

## FC4 HOUSING SITE ALLOCATIONS COMMENTS

The following questions will relate to housing site allocations. Please only use 1 form for each site you wish to submit a comment on. You may copy this page for additional site representations.

Please note: site references may have changed since the previous Local Plan edition, so please use this document to quote any references to sites:

<b>FC4.1</b>	<b>Section 5.4 Policy C1(A) Policy C1(B)</b>	Reflecting and referencing the findings on suitability, sustainability, site capacity, availability and deliverability, which are set out in updated site and sustainability assessment work. Affects the reasoned justification and policy on housing allocations overall and the associated site specific policies and preamble. Includes deletions, additions, revised site boundaries and capacities, and some recategorisation.	- 11 site allocations deleted - 3 reserve sites deleted - 16 site allocation boundaries amended - 22 allocated sites with capacity changes - 1 reserve site with a capacity change - 8 new site allocations, and 2 extended existing sites - 2 new reserve sites - 14 allocated sites and 2 reserve sites renumbered.
<b>FC4.2</b>	<b>Appendix 1</b>	Amended site specific policies. New reasoned justification and site specific policy for Scalford and Great Dalby.	- Reflects FC4.1 above. - Amended criteria setting out development conditions for some site specific policies.

### SITE SETTLEMENT *(Please select the site that you interested in (additional sites will need to be entered on another form))*

#### SITE

Ab Kettleby	<input type="checkbox"/>	Asfordby	<input type="checkbox"/>	Melton Mowbray	<input type="checkbox"/>
Asfordby Hill	<input type="checkbox"/>	Croxton Kerrial	<input type="checkbox"/>	Old Dalby	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bottesford	<input type="checkbox"/>	Easthorpe	<input type="checkbox"/>	Scalford	<input type="checkbox"/>
Frisby	<input type="checkbox"/>	Harby	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somerby	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gaddebsy	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hose	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stathern	<input type="checkbox"/>
Great Dalby	<input type="checkbox"/>	Long Clawson	<input type="checkbox"/>	Thorpe Arnold	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Wyndham	<input type="checkbox"/>	Waltham	<input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE INDICATE THE SITE REFERENCE HERE (If you wish to comment on the approach or policy as a whole please do so in the boxes below): HOS2

Do you believe that this policy/section of the Melton Local Plan focused change is? *(Please tick the appropriate box)*

1. Legally Compliant: Yes  No
2. Sound: Yes  No
3. Complies with Duty to Co-operate: Yes  No

\*The considerations in relation to the Local Plan being "sound" are explained in paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework. If you have entered "No" in relation to 4(2), please go to question 5. In all other circumstances, please go to question 6

9. Do you consider that the focused change is unsound because it is not any of the following? (Please tick the appropriate box)

Positively Prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National  
Policy

3. Please give details of why you consider this focused change is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of this policy or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

Please refer to the document appended to this form and headed "Focused Changes Consultation Response on Nathan Jones" (and which runs to 7 pages of text), which sets out in detail areas where I consider that MBC has made unsound decisions, which include (but are not limited to):

- Issues concerning the infrastructure requirements and sustainability of the proposed development of (Focused Changes site) HOS2;
- Issues where the decisions made by MBC are not backed up by the facts (e.g. the scoring of sites across Hose);
- The Focused Changes are not coherent with the draft neighbourhood plan. Given the extensive work that has been invested in creating a robust neighbourhood plan, it is likely that any conflicts between the two documents may end up in extensive and expensive litigation.

4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the focused change legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the suggested focused change legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Please continue onto a separate sheet if you require more space)

I consider that:

- The housing numbers should be in alignment with the numbers set out in the Neighbourhood Plan (Clawson, Hose & Harby) Regulation 16 Consultation version;
- The sites referenced HOS2 and HOS3 in the Melton Local Plan that was issued for consultation (i.e. sites MBC/008/16 and MBC/024/13) should both properly be reinstated to also align with the proposal in the Neighbourhood Plan;
- The site referenced HOS2 in the Focused changes (i.e. site MBC/010/17) should be deallocated, and building should not be permitted on this site

**Please note:** Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence necessary and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on this original representation.

After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector based on the matters and issues they identify at the examination.

5. Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? *(Please tick the appropriate box)*

Written Representations

Participate at the Oral Examination

6. If you wish to speak at the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I have already supplied extensive information to justify the position that I am taking in this consultation process. If that information is not sufficiently persuasive, I consider that I am more likely to be able to adequately convey my thoughts and concerns through oral examination.

*Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

### PART C: WHO YOU REPRESENT

To help us collate the responses to this consultation, we would be grateful if you could tell us which category best describes who you are representing *(Please tick the appropriate box)*

Melton Borough Resident	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Planning Agent/Planning Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/>
Developer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Utility/Service Provider	<input type="checkbox"/>
Government Organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Amenity Group	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Residents Group	<input type="checkbox"/>
Business	<input type="checkbox"/>	Town/Parish Council	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other <i>(Please state)</i>	<input type="text"/>		

Do you want to have further involvement in the Melton Local Plan? *(Please tick the appropriate boxes)*

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Melton Local Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Inspector's Report is available to view

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Melton Local Plan is adopted

If you/your organisation wish to be included in future consultations on the Melton Local Plan

If you/your organisation **do not** wish to be included in future consultations on the Melton Local Plan

**Thank you for taking the time to submit representations on the Melton Local Plan: Addendum of Focused Changes 2017. It should be noted that representations cannot be treated as confidential.**

Would you like to be kept informed of other council services? We will not share this data with another provider (Please tick the appropriate boxes)

All council services

Community

Tourism & events

Town Centre

Benefits

Local Plan

Online services

Waste and recycling