

Response ID ANON-MWX6-MJE9-7

Submitted to Melton Local Plan Update: Issues and Options Consultation
Submitted on 2023-12-20 15:05:13

Introduction

Definitions

About you

What is your name?

Name:
Charles Daybell

What is your organisation? (if relevant)

Organisation:

What is your Job Title/Role (if relevant)

Job title/role:

What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

Are you making a submission on behalf of someone else?

No

If you are submitting on behalf of someone else, please provide details:

Name (on behalf of):

Organisation (on behalf of):

About you (equalities questions)

Please provide the first 5 digits of your Postcode (for example LE13 1).

Enter the first 5 digits of your postcode:

[REDACTED]

Gender: How do you identify?

[REDACTED]

If self-describe, please state :

Would you describe yourself as transgender?

[REDACTED]

What is your sexual orientation?

[REDACTED]

If other, please state:

What is your age?

[REDACTED]

Do you consider yourself to have a health problem or a disability which has lasted, or is expected to last at least 12 months?

[REDACTED]

If you selected other, please state:

What is your ethnic origin?

Please describe your ethnicity and race:

What is your religion?

██████████

Please state your religion:

Vision and objectives

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 1: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 1 - Option 1: No change:

Question 1 - Option 2: Refocused and simplified version [preferred option]:
Somewhat agree

Question 2: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

Just too much generalisation. Appreciate that the revision takes us up to 2036 but that is 13 years ahead. Not sure that there is enough recognition of how much external changes will impact Melton. Still too much emphasis upon Melton Town itself and possibly not enough recognition of what is taking place in adjacent Local Authorities - Rushcliffe, Newark, South Kesteven, Rutland and Leicester and the proposed Mayoral changes being brought in in Notts, Nottingham City, Derby and Derbyshire

Question 3: What do you think are the most important objectives to be covered by our Vision? Please select your top 3

Question 3 - Improving facilities for all of the community and providing the new infrastructure needed to support our growing population:
2

Question 3 - Addressing the causes and effects of climate change:

Question 3 - Ensure local housing meets the local communities current and future needs:

Question 3 - Supporting a diverse, competitive and innovative rural economy:

Question 3 - Enhancing Melton Mowbray's town centre:

Question 3 - Promoting high quality and well-designed development to help create healthy, sustainable and safe communities:
3

Question 3 - Enhancing nature and minimising harm to the natural environment:
1

Question 3 - Other (please specify below):

Please, provide further context:

Policy SS1. Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

Policy SS1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 4: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 4 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Somewhat agree

Question 4 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 5: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

National Policy Guidelines seem to be under constant review and with a General Election coming there are likely to be more "top down" changes!

Policy SS3. Sustainable Communities (unallocated sites)

Policy SS3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 6: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 6 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 6 - Option 2: Review the policy to better define meeting local need:

Question 6 - Option 3: Review the policy to enhance wider sustainability [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 7: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

The current policy has caused many issues and allowed developers to ride roughshod through the Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan. Local community views and environmental considerations should have a greater weight

Question 8: Under what circumstances do you think new homes in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements are justified?

Please, provide further context:

Not if the site is not sustainable (lack of services /utilities) or if it removes trees or a sensitive green area. In Easthorpe two sites have gained planning consent on the basis of providing a house for a dependent. Once consent is given the plots are put on the open market without restriction. This loophole should be stopped.

Question 9: Do you think criteria should be introduced to require homes built in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements to be built to the highest sustainability standards? If yes, what types of criteria do you think the policy should consider?

Please, provide further context:

Yes but see comments above

Policy SS4. South Melton Mowbray Sustainable Neighbourhoods

Policy SS4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 10: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 10 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 10 - Option 2: Amend to reflect the 2021 Masterplan [preferred option]:
Somewhat agree

Question 11: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

No comment

Policy SS5. Melton Mowbray North Sustainable Neighbourhood

Policy SS5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 12: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 12 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Somewhat agree

Question 12 - Option 2: Amend to reflect the 2021 Masterplan [preferred option]:
Somewhat agree

Question 13: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Policy SS6. Alternative Development Strategies and Local Plan Review

Policy SS6: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 14: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 14 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 14 - Option 2: Reduce to locally specific criteria only [preferred option]:

Somewhat agree

Question 14 - Option 3: Additional criteria:

Somewhat agree

Question 15: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

What about the rest of Melton Borough Area? No revisions of the Local Plan in respect of North Melton Borough - Bottesford? Bottesford is not within the -Leicester Housing Market but is more affected by Nottingham and Grantham

Definitions

Policy C2. Housing Mix

Policy C2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 16: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 16 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 16 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 17: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policyPlease, provide further context

Please, provide further context:

Housing mix seems to have been driven by developers and not by the policy. Ofter "affordable" housing is pushed into a corner of a site and frankly is not "affordable"!

Policy C3. National Space Standard and Smaller Dwellings

Policy C3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 18: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 18 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 18 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 19: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Space Standards should be applied for all dwellings

Definitions

Policy C4. Affordable Housing Provision

Policy C4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 20: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 20 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 20 - Option 2: Amend the policy to reflect National Planning Policy Framework and new evidence [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 21: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Agree with reduction of minimum 5 houses in rural areas

Definitions

Policy C7. Rural Services

Policy C7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 22: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 22 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 22 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 23: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Policy C8. Self Build and Custom Build Housing

Policy C8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 24: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 24 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 24 - Option 2: Address increasing needs:

Somewhat agree

Question 24 - Option 3: Address increasing needs and add local-specific criteria [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 24 - Option 4: Adding the two optional local eligibility tests:

Strongly agree

Question 25: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Policy C9. Healthy Communities

Policy C9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 26: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 26 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 26 - Option 2: Revise the policy but also make health and wellbeing a key thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 27: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Access to facilities from rural areas needs addressing

Question 28: Do you think the Local Plan should require Health Impact Assessments for large scale developments?

Yes

Question 29: If you answered 'yes' to question 28, what size and types of development do you think should require them and why?

Please, provide further context:

20 houses plus

Policy EC1. Employment Growth in Melton Mowbray

Policy EC1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 30: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 30 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 30 - Option 2: Amendments to reflect Use Class Order, new evidence and National Planning Policy Framework:
Somewhat agree

Question 30 - Option 3: Create separate policies for employment allocations and employment development in Melton Mowbray:
Strongly agree

Question 31: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Criteria for Non Melton employment sites

Question 32: Unless submitted already as part of the Employment-only Call for Sites (June-July 2023), is there any employment site you want us to consider as a potential allocation? If there is, please submit the details, including a location plan showing the boundaries to planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

No comment

Definitions

Policy EC2. Employment Growth in the Rural Area (Outside Melton Mowbray)

Policy EC2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 33: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 33 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 33 - Option 2: Policy Wording Amendments [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 34: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Ensure in rural locations or alongside village locations that a comprehensive landscape scheme, incorporating tree planting and sustainable landscaping form part of any design brief and planning condition

Policy EC3. Existing Employment Sites

Policy EC3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 35: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 35 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 35 - Option 2: Add specific class uses to policies:

Somewhat agree

Question 35 - Option 3: Adding Flexibility to the Policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 36: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

See point previously about ensuring additions or extensions to buildings and sites require a proper landscape condition

Definitions

Policy EC4. Other Employment and Mixed-use Proposals

Policy EC4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 37: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 37 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 37 - Option 2: Wording amendments and define Mixed-use Development:

Somewhat agree

Question 37 - Option 3: Split the Policy:

Strongly agree

Question 38: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

See previous comments regarding having proper landscaping conditions where new development is proposed

Town Centre and Retail Evidence

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 39: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 39 - Option 1: Focus on Melton Mowbray Town Centre:

Neither agree nor disagree

Question 39 - Option 2: Carry out a full Town Centre and Retail Study including needs assessments:

Neither agree nor disagree

Question 40: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Definitions

Policy EC5. Melton Mowbray Town Centre

Policy EC5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 41: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 41 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 41 - Option 2: Update and incorporate elements of the Town Centre Vision [preferred option]:

Somewhat agree

Question 42: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Definitions

Policy EC6. Primary Shopping Frontages

Policy EC6: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 43: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 43 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 43 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 44: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Definitions

Policy EC7. Retail Development in the Borough

Policy EC7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 45: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 45 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 45 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 46: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Car parking is an issue in smaller centres

Definitions

Policy EC8. Sustainable Tourism

Policy EC8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 47: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 47 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 47 - Option 2: Clarify the policy to focus on socio-economic benefits:
Somewhat agree

Question 47 - Option 3: Amend the Policy to define sustainable tourism:
Strongly agree

Question 48: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Definitions

Policy EN2. Biodiversity and Geodiversity

Policy EN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 49: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 49 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 49 - Option 2: Amend the policy:
Somewhat agree

Question 49 - Option 3: Split the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 50: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

no comment

Definitions

Policy EN3. The Melton Green Infrastructure Network

Policy EN3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 51: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 51 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 51 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 52: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Ensure access to rural paths and other facilities is available to all by replacing existing gates and stiles with a better solution

Policy EN5. Local Green Spaces

Policy EN5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 53: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 53 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 53 - Option 2: Incorporate Green Belt criteria:
Strongly agree

Question 53 - Option 3: Designate additional Local Green Spaces:
Strongly agree

Question 54: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Amalgamate and extend the two Conservation Areas in Easthorpe (by incorporating the adjacent historic site) thus providing an extensive green space in Eastorpe

Question 55: If you wish to propose a new area for Local Green Space, please send a map and supporting information to planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

A previous request has been sent and the proposal is incorporated in the Bottesford Tree Charter agreed by the Bottesford Parish Council.

Policy EN7. Open Space, Sport and Recreation

Policy EN7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 56: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 56 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 56 - Option 2: Update the policy, particularly the standards [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 57: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Provide a specific policy for Bottesford Parish as the largest community outside of Melton

Policy EN8. Climate Change

Policy EN8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 58: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 58 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 58 - Option 2: Delete the policy, and make climate change a 'core thread' that runs throughout the entire plan:
Somewhat agree

Question 58 - Option 3: Retain but update policy EN8 and make climate change a core thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 59: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

climate change policies should be a core thread for the whole of Melton Borough Councils activities

Policy EN9. Ensuring Energy Efficiency and Low Carbon Development

Policy EN9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 60: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 60 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 60 - Option 2: Refocus the policy and split it into new more specific policies as required [Preferred approach]:

Strongly agree

Question 60 - Option 3: Make the policy more robust and specific, to ensure all new development meets the highest standards:

Strongly agree

Question 61: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

The Government's policy of moving away from over prescribing building standards has enabled builders to build poorly built houses and created the situation that most new buildings do not meet good efficiency standards. Local Authorities should take a more robust approach to ensure standards are met.

Policy EN10. Energy Generation from Renewable and Low Carbon Sources

Policy EN10: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 62: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 62 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Neither agree nor disagree

Question 62 - Option 2: Review the policy to ensure it works well for all types of renewable energy schemes [preferred approach]:

Strongly agree

Question 63: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

My concern is that the quality of the Vale of Belvoir could be ruined under the present policy for wind turbines and solar panels. There should be greater use of other sites - for example the ex airfield at Normanton where space is available for both turbines or panels. However the any policy will be undermined if the Government does not ensure extra capacity in the grid to allow renewable sources to be located in the locations other than those determined by an existing power lines. That restriction should be noted in the policy but not used as a reason to agree sites that create environmental damage.

Policy EN11. Minimising the Risk of Flooding

Policy EN11: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 64: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 64 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 64 - Option 2: Add new elements of national policy:

Strongly agree

Question 64 - Option 3: Restrict policy to strategic overview and local matters:

Somewhat disagree

Question 65: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Already the system is too wide open. Increased flooding risks are increasing across Leicestershire including the Rivers Devon and Winterbeck. Development should not be allowed in Zone 2 as well as zone 3 areas. The planning Authority has to take a much more robust view in stopping any new development in areas of risk of flooding. Even Zone 1 is a risk area under the new forecasts for climate change and increased flooding.

Definitions

Policy EN12. Sustainable Drainage Systems

Policy EN12: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 66: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 66 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Somewhat agree

Question 66 - Option 2: Incorporate additional requirements:
Strongly agree

Question 67: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

See comments on flood zones generally above.

Policy IN1. Melton Mowbray Transport Strategy

Policy IN1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 68: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 68 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Neither agree nor disagree

Question 68 - Option 2: Reflect the latest position in the policy [preferred option]:
Somewhat agree

Question 69: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

This is not a transport strategy for the whole of Melton Borough area. There are transport issues across the whole of the Borough. No mention is made of the Nottingham - Grantham railway line or of bus links (or lack of them!)

Definitions

Policy IN2. Transport, Accessibility and Parking

Policy IN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 70: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 70 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 70 - Option 2: Amend policy wording to align with national and local guidance [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 71: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Again little reference to the railway line or of having a comprehensive bus service in association with bus operators serving adjacent local authorities. It is noted that Leicestershire C C takes large proportion of Section 106 contributions from Bottesford developers for bus services in Bottesford despite there being very few services within the Parish!

Policy IN4. Broadband

Policy IN4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 72: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 72 - Option 1: Delete the policy:
Strongly agree

Question 72 - Option 2: Amend policy [preferred option]:
Strongly agree

Question 73: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Hopefully this will take place before 2036! I would prefer to see more specific targets for delivery of broadband.

Definitions

Policy D1. Raising the Standard of Design

Policy D1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 74: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 74 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 74 - Option 2: Review and strengthen policy so it sets out strategic principles for high quality new development [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 75: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

strongly support especially design codes. The Planning Authority should have the confidence to enforce the design codes.

Question 76: Do you think the current design policy criteria covers all design issues adequately, that the current policy works well? Would you like to suggest any criteria to be added or removed from the policy?

Please, provide further context:

It will only work well if the Planning Authority have the resources , especially qualified Town Planners and design professionals to oversee the policy. I consider this to be a big issue to overcome in Melton as making the policy work across the Borough is a major task.

Question 77: How important do you think each of the following design considerations are for a new development?

Question 77 - Attractiveness: creating a pleasant environment to live and work:

Very important

Question 77 - Sensitive to context: responds well to its surroundings:

Very important

Question 77 - Distinctiveness: builds upon the unique characteristics of its surroundings and creates a sense of place in itself (design features such as scale, massing, materials, landscaping and architectural detailing):

Very important

Question 77 - Neighbour amenity: does not adversely affect neighbours and nearby uses:

Very important

Question 77 - Legible places: places that are easily understood by their users, particularly when moving around.:

Very important

Question 77 - Connectedness: created new and weaves into existing networks:

Very important

Question 77 - Comprehensive: ensuring development is designed and delivered in a coordinated way, and avoiding piecemeal schemes:

Very important

Question 77 - Safe and attractive streets and spaces: create spaces and environment that feels safe and secure to be in.:

Very important

Question 77 - Environmental sustainability and adapting to climate change:

Very important

Question 77 - Mix of uses: the right range of uses and densities:

Quite important

Question 77 - Protecting and enhancing heritage assets:

Very important

Question 77 - Car parking:

Quite important

Question 77 - Community consultation: opportunities for community to get involved and help shape development proposals:

Quite important

Question 77 - Other: please state below any other key design considerations not highlighted above:

Very important

Please, provide further context:

Better landscaping and protection of landscape assets

Question 78: Do you think there is a need for specific policy guidance about the use of design coding within the local plan?

Yes

Question 79: If you responded 'yes' to question 78, please provide reasons?

Please, provide further context:

To be consistent and provide a line in the sand

Equalities Impact

Question 80: Do you have any views on the potential impact of the proposals raised in this consultation on people with protected characteristics as defined in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010?

No

Question 81: If you responded 'yes'/'unsure' to question 80, please provide your reasons and whether there is anything that you think could be done to mitigate any impacts identified

Please, provide further context:

Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please use the comment box below to provide any information you would like us to consider in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please, provide a reference to the section and your comments:

A valuable report. 2 points I would make> Firstly it does not reflect the reality of economic zones by suggesting Bottesford is within the Leicester employment area when residents of Bottesford area either travel to Nottingham or Grantham or use local Bottesford employers..

Secondly I am pleased that the report recommends that Conservation Areas be reviewed especially those last reviewed before the year 2000. I hope that happens and I am willing to help in respect of Easthorpe and Bottesford.