

# Response ID ANON-MWX6-MJZ7-T

Submitted to Melton Local Plan Update: Issues and Options Consultation  
Submitted on 2024-01-02 15:37:13

## Introduction

### Definitions

### About you

What is your name?

Name:  
Susan Christine Love

What is your organisation? (if relevant)

Organisation:

What is your Job Title/Role (if relevant)

Job title/role:

What is your email address?

Email:  
[REDACTED]

Are you making a submission on behalf of someone else?

No

If you are submitting on behalf of someone else, please provide details:

Name (on behalf of):

Organisation (on behalf of):

### About you (equalities questions)

Please provide the first 5 digits of your Postcode (for example LE13 1).

Enter the first 5 digits of your postcode:

Gender: How do you identify?

[REDACTED]

If self-describe, please state :

Would you describe yourself as transgender?

[REDACTED]

What is your sexual orientation?

[REDACTED]

If other, please state:

What is your age?

[REDACTED]

Do you consider yourself to have a health problem or a disability which has lasted, or is expected to last at least 12 months?

[REDACTED]

If you selected other, please state:

What is your ethnic origin?

Please describe your ethnicity and race:

What is your religion?

██████████

Please state your religion:

## Vision and objectives

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 1: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 1 - Option 1: No change:  
Strongly disagree

Question 1 - Option 2: Refocused and simplified version [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 2: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

Housing development - Well designed spacious bungalows (some with really good outdoor space to encourage continued gardening activity) must be encouraged to enable older people in the Borough to move into more suitable accommodation when they need - this will free up housing stock for younger people and their families.

Emphasis on business development is extremely disappointing - innovation and competition is the the least of it - it must be GREEN. wake up Melton!

Question 3: What do you think are the most important objectives to be covered by our Vision? Please select your top 3

Question 3 - Improving facilities for all of the community and providing the new infrastructure needed to support our growing population:

Question 3 - Addressing the causes and effects of climate change:  
1

Question 3 - Ensure local housing meets the local communities current and future needs:

Question 3 - Supporting a diverse, competitive and innovative rural economy:

Question 3 - Enhancing Melton Mowbray's town centre:

Question 3 - Promoting high quality and well-designed development to help create healthy, sustainable and safe communities:  
3

Question 3 - Enhancing nature and minimising harm to the natural environment:  
2

Question 3 - Other (please specify below):

Please, provide further context:

Put green issues at the core of every policy. We have had years of disastrous new development because of the lack of legislation from this dreadful Tory government. Our new builds on greenfield sites should have had ground source heating and solar panels on every roof.

## Policy SS1. Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

Policy SS1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 4: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 4 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Neither agree nor disagree

Question 4 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:  
Strongly disagree

Question 5: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Sustainability should be the focus of every decision. More care needed about the poor drainage systems proposed by developers. Off Belvoir Rd, Bottesford Barratts have built a dreadful system which drains the water in the opposite direction to the lie of the land so that the site drains south into the Winterbeck which now floods putting Belvoir Rd at risk.

Take note also of all the problems at Waltham. developers cannot be trusted.

### Policy SS3. Sustainable Communities (unallocated sites)

Policy SS3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 6: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 6 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 6 - Option 2: Review the policy to better define meeting local need:

Question 6 - Option 3: Review the policy to enhance wider sustainability [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 7: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Restrictions are necessary if we are going to prevent flooding and other ecological disaster in the future.

Question 8: Under what circumstances do you think new homes in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements are justified?

Please, provide further context:

Agricultural use only

Question 9: Do you think criteria should be introduced to require homes built in the borough's smallest and least sustainable settlements to be built to the highest sustainability standards? If yes, what types of criteria do you think the policy should consider?

Please, provide further context:

Yes.

Need for agricultural workers.

EV points in the garages for more sustainable transport.

### Policy SS4. South Melton Mowbray Sustainable Neighbourhoods

Policy SS4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 10: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 10 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 10 - Option 2: Amend to reflect the 2021 Masterplan [preferred option]:

Question 11: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

### Policy SS6. Alternative Development Strategies and Local Plan Review

Policy SS6: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 14: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 14 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 14 - Option 2: Reduce to locally specific criteria only [preferred option]:

Question 14 - Option 3: Additional criteria:

Question 15: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy C2. Housing Mix

Policy C2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 16: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 16 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 16 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 17: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policyPlease, provide further context

Please, provide further context:

Housing mix must be delivered in a much better way. All the small houses should not be grouped together in an area of mainly hard landscaping which will become too hot in the increasingly hot summers. Better landscaping is needed over sites. Larger gardens might be better than some of the POS which are not much used. Houses packed tightly together, too small gardens and POS green spaces enable the developers to put in services cheaply and condemn the buying population to no private space for children to run round, or to grow fruit and vegetables. Town houses are being built in our villages.

## Policy C3. National Space Standard and Smaller Dwellings

Policy C3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 18: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 18 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 18 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 19: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy C4. Affordable Housing Provision

Policy C4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 20: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 20 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 20 - Option 2: Amend the policy to reflect National Planning Policy Framework and new evidence [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 21: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Fine having a policy - but how often will it be abandoned when developers come to the Planning Committee with a viability issue? This allows them to guarantee more profit than many other businesses.

Definitions

## Policy C7. Rural Services

Policy C7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 22: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 22 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 22 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 23: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy C8. Self Build and Custom Build Housing

Policy C8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 24: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 24 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 24 - Option 2: Address increasing needs:

Question 24 - Option 3: Address increasing needs and add local-specific criteria [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 24 - Option 4: Adding the two optional local eligibility tests:

Question 25: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy C9. Healthy Communities

Policy C9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 26: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 26 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 26 - Option 2: Revise the policy but also make health and wellbeing a key thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 27: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Bellway has not even provided the footpath to the village required in the Conditions for development on Grantham Rd , Bottesford. Unambiguous conditions and enforcement are necessary if new development is going to meet even basic standards for a healthy community.

In addition there should be encouragement for developers to contribute small additions to make living healthier and more sustainable - e.g. every new house should be provided with a fruit bush or fruit tree, with instructions on how to care for it. This would encourage local food production - and neighbourliness sharing different between the gardens.

Question 28: Do you think the Local Plan should require Health Impact Assessments for large scale developments?

Yes

Question 29: If you answered 'yes' to question 28, what size and types of development do you think should require them and why?

Please, provide further context:

Every development. Health can be compromised for new and existing residents by even small developments.

A small bungalow, ideal for an elderly or infirm resident, is not ideal if there is no garage or storage for a mobility vehicle.

## Policy EC1. Employment Growth in Melton Mowbray

Policy EC1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 30: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 30 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 30 - Option 2: Amendments to reflect Use Class Order, new evidence and National Planning Policy Framework:

Question 30 - Option 3: Create separate policies for employment allocations and employment development in Melton Mowbray:

Strongly agree

Question 31: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Land use changes have years of impact. Detailed policies are necessary to ensure that good decisions are made.

Question 32: Unless submitted already as part of the Employment-only Call for Sites (June-July 2023), is there any employment site you want us to consider as a potential allocation? If there is, please submit the details, including a location plan showing the boundaries to [planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk) and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy EC2. Employment Growth in the Rural Area (Outside Melton Mowbray)

Policy EC2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 33: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 33 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 33 - Option 2: Policy Wording Amendments [preferred option]:

Somewhat agree

Question 34: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy EC3. Existing Employment Sites

Policy EC3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 35: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 35 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 35 - Option 2: Add specific class uses to policies:

Question 35 - Option 3: Adding Flexibility to the Policy:

Question 36: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy EC4. Other Employment and Mixed-use Proposals

Policy EC4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 37: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 37 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 37 - Option 2: Wording amendments and define Mixed-use Development:

Question 37 - Option 3: Split the Policy:

Question 38: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Town Centre and Retail Evidence

Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 39: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 39 - Option 1: Focus on Melton Mowbray Town Centre:

Question 39 - Option 2: Carry out a full Town Centre and Retail Study including needs assessments:  
Strongly agree

Question 40: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this section

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy EC7. Retail Development in the Borough

Policy EC7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 45: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 45 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Question 45 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Question 46: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy EC8. Sustainable Tourism

Policy EC8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 47: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 47 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 47 - Option 2: Clarify the policy to focus on socio-economic benefits:

Question 47 - Option 3: Amend the Policy to define sustainable tourism:  
Strongly agree

Question 48: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy EN2. Biodiversity and Geodiversity

Policy EN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 49: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 49 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 49 - Option 2: Amend the policy:

Question 49 - Option 3: Split the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 50: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

The options need to be adequately reflected and covered even if the policy becomes longer.

Definitions

## Policy EN3. The Melton Green Infrastructure Network

Policy EN3: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 51: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 51 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 51 - Option 2: Amend the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 52: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy EN5. Local Green Spaces

Policy EN5: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 53: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 53 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 53 - Option 2: Incorporate Green Belt criteria:

Strongly agree

Question 53 - Option 3: Designate additional Local Green Spaces:

Strongly agree

Question 54: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Protect our villages for hard edged high density estates on the fringes. A village should drift softly into the surrounding countryside.

Question 55: If you wish to propose a new area for Local Green Space, please send a map and supporting information to [planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@melton.gov.uk) and add a note in this section

Please, provide further context:

## Policy EN7. Open Space, Sport and Recreation



Policy EN7: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 56: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 56 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 56 - Option 2: Update the policy, particularly the standards [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 57: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy EN8. Climate Change

Policy EN8: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 58: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 58 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 58 - Option 2: Delete the policy, and make climate change a 'core thread' that runs throughout the entire plan:

Question 58 - Option 3: Retain but update policy EN8 and make climate change a core thread that runs throughout the entire plan [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 59: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Policy could include encouragement for 'green' industries and discouragement for businesses making unsustainable and unhealthy products - e.g. the production and transport of sugary drinks and plastic packed sandwiches

## Policy EN9. Ensuring Energy Efficiency and Low Carbon Development

Policy EN9: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 60: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 60 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Strongly agree

Question 60 - Option 2: Refocus the policy and split it into new more specific policies as required [Preferred approach]:

Question 60 - Option 3: Make the policy more robust and specific, to ensure all new development meets the highest standards:  
Strongly agree

Question 61: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Get solar panels on roofs not on good farmland!

## Policy EN10. Energy Generation from Renewable and Low Carbon Sources

Policy EN10: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 62: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 62 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 62 - Option 2: Review the policy to ensure it works well for all types of renewable energy schemes [preferred approach]:  
Strongly agree

Question 63: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

## Policy EN11. Minimising the Risk of Flooding

Policy EN11: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 64: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 64 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 64 - Option 2: Add new elements of national policy:

Strongly agree

Question 64 - Option 3: Restrict policy to strategic overview and local matters:

Question 65: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

As a resident of a house which was flooded in 2001 I think that flooding policies should be detailed, comprehensive and put developers' plans to an independent expert test of their efficacy.

Belvoir Rd Bottesford floods because of Barratt's poor drainage scheme. The dyke which is supposed to take the water from the balancing pond overflows, and the water which does reach the Winterbeck causes it to flood on the east side of the Belvoir Rd bridge causing turbulence that will eventually undermine the bridge. Questions need to be asked about whether the Winterbeck to the west of the bridge has ever been cleared since the new riparian owner arrived in 2001.

Definitions

## Policy EN12. Sustainable Drainage Systems

Policy EN12: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 66: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 66 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Strongly agree

Question 66 - Option 2: Incorporate additional requirements:

Strongly agree

Question 67: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Some SUDS schemes could prove very problematic in the future. The pit and pipe system is particularly bad - unattractive, often unsafe (deep water) and will be a health hazard when the climate is warm enough for the malaria mosquito.

## Policy IN1. Melton Mowbray Transport Strategy

Policy IN1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 68: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 68 - Option 1: Delete the policy:

Somewhat agree

Question 68 - Option 2: Reflect the latest position in the policy [preferred option]:

Strongly agree

Question 69: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Problem is that MBC does not control transport provision. Bus routes have been withdrawn since the LP was made. Sustainable locations become less sustainable.

Definitions

## Policy IN2. Transport, Accessibility and Parking

Policy IN2: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 70: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 70 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 70 - Option 2: Amend policy wording to align with national and local guidance [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 71: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Enforce conditions on developers - e.g. Bellway - supposed to provide a footpath to the village before any houses were occupied - and still haven't!

## Policy IN4. Broadband

Policy IN4: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 72: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 72 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 72 - Option 2: Amend policy [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 73: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Definitions

## Policy D1. Raising the Standard of Design

Policy D1: Relevant context to respond to the questions below

Question 74: Looking at the options above, which option do you support?

Question 74 - Option 1: Delete the policy:  
Somewhat agree

Question 74 - Option 2: Review and strengthen policy so it sets out strategic principles for high quality new development [preferred option]:  
Strongly agree

Question 75: Please use the comment box below to explain your response or provide any additional information you would like us to consider in our review of this policy

Please, provide further context:

Village design codes often feature houses close to roads. These were built before the motor car. Such proximity to the road is not acceptable now and should not be used a model for future development.

Our villages have been ruined by cookie cutter estates with houses not fit for the 21st C with their failure to incorporate green heating systems.

Semi-detached houses could be fuel efficient and a good option but why are they always such miserable little pairs of matched cramped dwellings? A semi-detached house could much more imaginatively be a cottage style addition to a larger house as we see in older buildings in some villages.

Question 76: Do you think the current design policy criteria covers all design issues adequately, that the current policy works well? Would you like to suggest any criteria to be added or removed from the policy?

Please, provide further context:

As above.

Question 77: How important do you think each of the following design considerations are for a new development?

Question 77 - Attractiveness: creating a pleasant environment to live and work:

Very important

Question 77 - Sensitive to context: responds well to its surroundings:

Very important

Question 77 - Distinctiveness: builds upon the unique characteristics of its surroundings and creates a sense of place in itself (design features such as scale, massing, materials, landscaping and architectural detailing):

Question 77 - Neighbour amenity: does not adversely affect neighbours and nearby uses:

Very important

Question 77 - Legible places: places that are easily understood by their users, particularly when moving around.:

Very important

Question 77 - Connectedness: created new and weaves into existing networks:

Very important

Question 77 - Comprehensive: ensuring development is designed and delivered in a coordinated way, and avoiding piecemeal schemes:

Very important

Question 77 - Safe and attractive streets and spaces: create spaces and environment that feels safe and secure to be in.:

Very important

Question 77 - Environmental sustainability and adapting to climate change:

Very important

Question 77 - Mix of uses: the right range of uses and densities:

Question 77 - Protecting and enhancing heritage assets:

Very important

Question 77 - Car parking:

Question 77 - Community consultation: opportunities for community to get involved and help shape development proposals:

Very important

Question 77 - Other: please state below any other key design considerations not highlighted above:

Very important

Please, provide further context:

Right density? - high density is not right because the neighbouring area has already been blighted by a dreadful new estate.

For the same reason future development should not necessarily fit the character of the local area if it is already appalling.

Question 78: Do you think there is a need for specific policy guidance about the use of design coding within the local plan?

Yes

Question 79: If you responded 'yes' to question 78, please provide reasons?

Please, provide further context:

In many cases design coding must produce an improvement on the area's existing character not just reflect it.

## Equalities Impact

Question 80: Do you have any views on the potential impact of the proposals raised in this consultation on people with protected characteristics as defined in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010?

Yes

Question 81: If you responded 'yes'/'unsure' to question 80, please provide your reasons and whether there is anything that you think could be done to mitigate any impacts identified

Please, provide further context:

## Appendix A. Summary of the conclusions of the Local Plan Review

### Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please use the comment box below to provide any information you would like us to consider in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Please, provide a reference to the section and your comments:

4.3.1 Flooding - Bottesford is also at risk from the Winterbeck where poor drainage from the Barratt's estate floods Belvoir Rd and water fails to get under the road bridge causing turbulence on the east side which will eventually undermine the bridge.

10.3.6 Grantham is an important HMA in relation to Bottesford. Large developments are currently underway in Grantham.