

Response ID ANON-13H4-7Y42-P

Submitted to **Melton Local Plan Pre-Submission Draft**
Submitted on **2016-12-13 07:14:31**

About you

1 What is your name?

Name:
Terence Joyce

2 What is your email address?

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Are you responding as an individual, consultee, stakeholder or other?

Resident

If Consultee, Stakeholder, or Other, please give details here. :

4 Address

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5 Age

Please select your age:
[REDACTED]

Chapter 1: Introduction

1 CH1Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::
No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:
No

2 CH1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistent with National Policy

3 CH1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Chapter 1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Firstly it is worth pointing out that most of my comments within the Pre-Submission Draft will relate to Somerby (SOM) as this is my place of residence therefore I am able to offer more sound comments on local related issues.

Overall the plan has not paid enough attention to the actual needs of the communities or the valued experience of people who live within.

(I have lived in Somerby for best part 25 years)

and as part of the "interactive policies map" was altered (Amendments to the map - 29 November 2016) due to alleged inaccuracies, questions the validity of the plan and the consultation period as it highlights a lack of attention to detail. and perhaps lack of communication between interested parties to ensure "interactive policies map" is accurate before putting into public domain.

Bear in mind this amendment comes within the middle of the consultation period and some residents may well have submitted their comments before this amendment.

Therefore I question "Complies with Duty to Co-operate "

Also SOM2 is within "Jubilee Way" (Priority Green Infrastructure) map reference
Latitude 52.6856 Longitude -0.852 therefore I question compliant with NPPF and NPPG.

Also in the LOCAL PLAN APPENDIX 1 Site allocations and policies page 49.

Some of the following comments and ranking are very misleading
to quote

SOM2 "The site is well located and adjacent to the Primary School".

SOM3 "The site is located at the north-western edge of the village relatively close to the existing Primary School and slightly detached from the rest of the services of the village. It is off a well connected road with a bus stop nearby. Site adjacent to the Conservation Area. "

The above comments fail to mention the fact SOM2 is adjacent and closer to the centre of the conservation area than SOM3 (In fact part of SOM2 comes within the conservation area)

Also fails to mention SOM2 has no access to the high street and any access created would be adjacent dangerous bend.

Under the heading on page 49

"Policy SOM2: Development of the site reference SOM2 will be supported provided: "

Fails to mention SOM2 is within the "Jubilee way"(Priority Green Infrastructure corridor)

as stated above. Although the above may not relate to specific parcels of land, the fact remains SOM2 accumulates into the whole of it. (More of my comments relate to this in policy EN3)

Therefore to rank SOM2 as no 2 is misleading.

In the Introduction part of paragraph1.2.2 states "The plan also identifies areas of land which should be protected."

However the site allocation does not reflect this with regard to SOM2.

Therefore I question whether the policy is Sound: I also question soundness for the following reasons

The Somerby equestrian centre established in 1991 has been using SOM2 for horse grazing and livery all the 24 plus years I have lived in Somerby).

The play area is well established and maintained within tree enclosed boundary. With good facilities for parents to sit and keep an eye.

This land is owned by trust (for obvious reasons).

To build 42 residential units on this land , it is reasonable to assume that with modern families these days this could easily equate to 80 plus vehicles accessing the high street, together with equestrian riders often young and inexperienced , it is a recipe for potential fatalities.

This very rural village has strong equestrian activity especially within this part of village.

Added to this, there is public right of way which locals use for walking dogs etc.

It is worth remembering "DUTY OF CARE" is applicable and all that goes with it.

I live on Chapel lane only few yards away from potential junction which is also adjacent primary school and over the years the high street has become very busy and as I exit Chapel lane in my car I take my life in my hands. Build on SOM2 will only increase risk.

Any suggestion to solve some of these issues with a bypass would do nothing to enhance Somerby as a rural community but would do more harm in destroying more valuable green space.

Remember we are only walking distance from our valued Borough Hill.

Also when considering new industries we must remember Melton Mowbray Town and surrounding countryside is not Industrial as can be identified in regions such as North Nottingham for example.

Therefore our rural identity should be protected.

4 CH1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 1 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 1 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To Satisfy. "Complies with Duty to Co-operate "

Amendments to the map - 29 November 2016 due to alleged inaccuracies, begs the question should the whole of the local plan be scrutinised for any other possible errors.

Also sites such as SOM2 should be taken out of allocation to satisfy NPPF and NPPG,

With reference to Sound:

To make it sound

The only realistic site to build on is SOM1, South side of village for following reasons

1: Well outside conservation area.

2: Very close to surgery. (It is reasonable to assume some residents of social housing may have health related issues).

3: Commuter access to Oakham (A1 bypass) Melton, and Leicester without the need to go through already congested high street.

And to pre-empt, any problems with drainage can be sorted with the will and money.

Also with careful build it could well bring this part of Somerby up to better standard.

The number of units on SOM1 (27) together with 12 planned for Church lane and 3 in build on Manor lane gives grand total 42 units, more than enough in my opinion to satisfy Somerbys overall contribution to the Melton plan. This would also ensure sensitive sites such as SOM2/3 are protected and again satisfy NPPF and NPPG.

Also the plan should get the balance right between protecting the whole of Melton borough's rural status when looking to attract more industries.

Chapter 2 - Melton Borough Today – A Portrait

1 CH2Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy Chapter 2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 2 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 2 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Chapter 3: Vision and Strategic Priorities

1 CH3Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question Soundness:

Build on SOM2/3 will have maximum negative effect on environment objectives

whilst it is important to consider the requirements for affordable/social housing. Consideration should also be given to people who work hard to achieve their ambition of owning that cottage in the countryside, to getaway from the rat race and are quite prepared to trade off facilities in favour of a rural way of life.

4 CH3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 3 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 3 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To address SOUNDNES:

Re-consider building on sites such as SOM2/3.

Ensure our villages do not lose their rural charm and thus distract those people who are prepared to buy and maintain that listed building etc.

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough – The Spatial Strategy

1 CH4Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 4 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

No

2 CH4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question Complies with Duty to Co-operate : and Soudness For the following reasons.

Build on SOM2 /3 will have maximum negative effect on this policy and NPPF Guide lines.

More consideration should be given to why people are attracted to living in rural areas.

The tranquillity in respect to Somerby is more important to many people rather than amenities.

That is the trade off when you choose to live a rural life

In my opinion the housing allocations do not reflect this in practice

4 CH4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 4 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 4 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy Duty to co-operate and soundness

Reconsider build on sites such as SOM2/3

More appropriate to concentrate on sites such as SOM1.

Policy SS1 - Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

1 CH4PSS1Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH4PSS1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH4PSS1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question the Soundness in respect to SOM2/3 (Somerby) as build on these sites will not improve economic, social and environmental conditions

Therefore build on sites such as SOM2/3 (Somerby) will have maximum negative effect on this policy .

4 CH4PSS1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy soundness in respect to this policy take sites such as SOM2/3 out of housing allocation.

Policy SS2 – Development Strategy

1 CH4PSS2Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH4PSS2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH4PSS2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question the SOUNDNESS of this policy for the following reasons.

With regard to service centres I can only speak for my village Somerby, to call this a service centre is total exaggeration for reasons set out below

1: This village is equestrian and tourist orientated.

2: The public transport is erratic and very expensive , example 2 x Adults and 2 x fare paying children for return journey to Melton costs best part of £20,also could not rely on this service if required to get to work on time etc.

3: The school along with its limited space already contributes to high street traffic chaos

4: The local shop/post office offers typical rural service and far too limited and expensive to do family shop, residents have to travel by car to nearest super market and or use online shopping both contribute to traffic problems on high street, also village shop has no off street parking hence causes bottle jam in its vicinity.

5: Surgery located on very edge of South side of village, although handy for SOM1, SOM 2/3 residents would more than likely use car to visit, again putting more pressure on already clogged up high street.

6. Any suggestion to build bypass would have maximum negative effect on rural environment

4 CH4PSS2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

Discount Somerby as service centre spread build across southern borough, from what I understand some villagers are crying out for social housing.

According to the Midlands Rural Housing Survey April 2016 ;

THERE IS AN IDENTIFIED NEED FOR 5 OPEN MARKET HOMES AND 14 AFFORDABLE HOMES IN SOMERBY PARISH FOR THOSE WITH A LOCAL CONNECTION .

Limit residential build to SOM1, (27 UNITS) for the following reasons

1: Access to Melton, Oakham (bypass to A1), Leicester. Therefore less pressure on high street.

2 It is reasonable to assume that some residents of social housing have health related problems, therefore very close to surgery.

Also to pre-empt any drainage problems related to SOM1 can be sorted with the will and money.

Also worth noting in centre of village 12 units in for planning Church lane and 3 in build Manor lane therefore already have 42 potential units without destroying equestrian rural status of village by building on SOM2/3.

Policy SS3 – Sustainable Communities (unallocated sites)

1 CH4PSS3Q1: Do you consider that Policy SS3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH4PSS3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH4PSS3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy SS3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy SS3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUNDNESS of this policy

These sites should be added to overall plan as they can make a big difference to villages like SOM (Somerby) where there are 12 in planning Church lane and 3 in build Manor lane =15 these are not mentioned in plan but represent a large percentage of total allocation and as such could determine whether sensitive sites such as SOM2, should go ahead.

4 CH4PSS3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

Add sites like these into allocation plan.

Chapter 5: Melton's Communities – Strong, Healthy and Vibrant

1 CH5Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 5 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 5 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 5 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question the SOUNDNESS: of this policy in relation to villages like Somerby

To build on sites such as SOM2/3 (Somerby) will have maximum negative effect on this policy as it will put more pressure on our rural way of life . To make Somerby more strong, healthy and vibrant we also need to attract people who are looking for rural way of life in terms of buying and being prepared to renovate that country cottage or are willing to buy that listed building with a view to maintaining same.

4 CH5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 5 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 5 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

Pay more attention to village locations and the impact rural way of life, in the case of Somerby

SOM1 would be more appropriate with careful build it could also give Southern side of village a lift. At the same time preserving Green field sites such as SOM2.for all to enjoy.

Again as stated in paragraph of my letter to MBC dated 23rd October.

"This new proposal is arguably set among the most outstanding part of Somerby in terms of beauty and tranquillity to be enjoyed by the whole village regardless of where people live or in what circumstances such as social housing or in a mansion, as it comprises a riding school, grazing land, children's play area and local country walks ,not to mention wild life."

This is what attracts people to and typifies a village of this standing.

Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations

1 CH5PC1(A)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (A) is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC1(A)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH5PC1(A)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

This policy not SOUND with respect to Somerby SOM2 &3

As stated in Policy SS3 sites in planning and build should be included in allocation, otherwise it is misleading.

Also the The necessary infrastructure required to support development in accordance with Policy IN1; cannot be met in SOM (Somerby) there are very limited job opportunities in this very small rural area.

Also Public transport is very limited for two main reasons

1: Very erratic often never turns up or is late, therefore cannot be relied upon to get to ones work place on time etc.

2: It is very expensive for example 2 x Adults + 2 x fare paying child costs best part of £20 return to Melton.

Also walking and biking out of question for obvious reasons.

Only Melton Town urban areas can seriously achieve above objectives IN1.

4 CH5PC1(A)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

With regard to housing allocations as in 3. CH5PC1(A)Q3 sites in planning (12 Church lane) and build (3 Manor lane) should be included in allocation

With regard to transport modes with respect to SOM, changes unachievable

Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites

1 CH5PC1(B)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC1(B)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH5PC1(B)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUNDNESS: of this policy in respect to Somerby (SOM)

The only reason I can see SOM2 being put in allocation and SOM3 in reserve is that SOM2 has greater allocation i.e. 42 units.

However SOM2 is a much more sensitive site for many reasons as set out in other policies, SOM3 has much better and safer access to main road whereas SOM2 has no direct access and any access created would have to be in the vicinity of dangerous bend in road and the problem of equestrian activity near same.

4 CH5PC1(B)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNES:

SOM 2 should be taken out of Housing allocation altogether and SOM3 should be taken out of reserve and put into housing allocation.

However it would be much better to concentrate on SOM1 (27units) and take into account the 12 units in planning on Church lane and 3 in build on Manor road, therefore making total 42 units,

Less pressure on high street and safe guarding current use of SOM2.

Policy C2 – Housing Mix

1 CH5PC2Q1: Do you consider that Policy C2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I agree with the SOUNDNESS: of this policy however in relation to Somerby

This type of build would be more suitable to SOM1, mainly because of its close proximity to the surgery.

4 CH5PC2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To make this policy SOUND: concentrate build on SOM1 not SOM2/3.

Policy C4 – Affordable Housing Provision

1 CH5PC4Q1: Do you consider that Policy C4 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC4Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistent with National Policy

3 CH5PC4Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C4 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C4 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUNDNESS: of this policy

With regard to rural areas, build should be more evenly spread around villages.

4 CH5PC4Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

Target villages that have a real need.

Remember people who go for affordable housing tend to be on limited budget, therefore commuting to work etc. from rural locations can be expensive.

Policy C5 – Affordable Housing through Rural Exception Sites

1 CH5PC5Q1: Do you consider that Policy C5 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC5Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC5Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C5 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C5 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

4 CH5PC5Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Policy C7 – Rural Services

1 CH5PC7Q1: Do you consider that Policy C7 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH5PC7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUNDNESS: with regard to Somerby (SOM)

With regard to SOM2/3 Any build on these sites will not retain or enhance existing community services and facilities

It will have negative impact on rural way of life including equestrian

4 CH5PC7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS:

Take SOM2/3 out of housing allocation.

Policy C9 – Healthy Communities

1 CH5PC9Q1: Do you consider that Policy C9 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH5PC9Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistent with National Policy

3 CH5PC9Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C9 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C9 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUNDNESS: with regard to Somerby (SOM)

Build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy C9

And re- part my letter dated 23rd October

"This new proposal is arguably set among the most outstanding part of Somerby in terms of beauty and tranquillity to be enjoyed by the whole village regardless of where people live or in what circumstances such as social housing or in a mansion, as it comprises a riding school, grazing land, children's play area and local country walks ,not to mention wild life. This is what attracts people to and typifies a village of this standing."

4 CH5PC9Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS: with regard to Somerby

Take SOM2 out of housing allocation.

Policy EC2 – Employment Growth in the Rural Area (Outside Melton Mowbray)

1 CH6PEC2Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH6PEC2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH6PEC2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I agree with SOUND:

I agree with part B) Rural employment proposals which create or safeguard jobs.

4 CH6PEC2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Policy EC8 – Sustainable Tourism

1 CH6PEC8Q1: Do you consider that Policy EC8 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH6PEC8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH6PEC8Q4: Please give details of why you consider Policy EC8 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EC8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I agree with SOUNDNESS: however with regard to Somerby (SOM)

Any build on SOM2/3 will have maximum negative effect on this policy as they are adjacent country walks and riding school which attracts people from miles around.

The village as a whole attracts walkers , which boosts visitors to local pub/restaurant, also within walking distance Burrough on the Hill Iron Age Fort .

4 CH6PEC8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To maintain SOUNDNESS:

Avoid build in Somerby on SOM2/3

Whilst trying to generate new tourists projects we should cherish what is already established in the Borough and not spoil it. Villages like Somerby with its own features and close proximity to Burrough on the hill, should be treated as Tourist attraction and not a humdrum service centre

Chapter 7: Melton Borough's Environment – Protected and Enhanced

1 CH7Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 7 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 7 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 7 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

The policy is SOUND: However with regard to Somerby

To build on SOM2 and SOM 3 would go against all policies set out in chapter 7.

4 CH7Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 7 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 7 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To apply this policy to Somerby and make it SOUND:

Take SOM2&3 out of housing allocation.

Policy EN1 – Landscape

1 CH7PEN1Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7PEN1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

The Policy is SOUND:

However any build on SOM2 (Somerby) would have maximum negative effect on Policy EN1

Below is extract from my letter to Melton Borough Council Planners dated 23rd October 2016

"This new proposal is arguably set among the most outstanding part of Somerby in terms of beauty and tranquillity to be enjoyed by the whole village regardless of where people live or in what circumstances such as social housing or in a mansion, as it comprises a riding school, grazing land, children's play area and local country walks ,not to mention wild life.

This is what attracts people to and typifies a village of this standing."

4 CH7PEN1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUND: in relation to Somerby

Take SOM2 out of housing allocation

Policy EN2 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity

1 CH7PEN2Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7PEN2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

This policy is SOUND:

However any build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy EN2 as the whole of SOM2 is within and part of the "Primary Green Infrastructure" known as "Jubilee Way"

regardless of size of this parcel of land, it all adds up.

See my comments in policy EN3.

4 CH7PEN2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

With regard to Somerby to make this policy SOUND:

Take SOM2/3 out of housing allocation

Policy EN3 – The Melton Green Infrastructure Network

1 CH7PEN3Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

No

2 CH7PEN3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH7PEN3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question SOUND: and Complies with Duty to Co-operate with regard to Somerby (SOM)

As build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy EN3 as SOM2 is within and therefore part of the "Priority Green Infrastructure" known as "Jubilee Way".

Latitude 52.6856 Longitude -0.852 (I also have photographic evidence)

Which carries on south to Leigh field forest.

Individual parcels of green field land within these corridors may not appear to be that important on their own but it is the overall national network they contribute to which gives maximum impact therefore not just local to the borough, helping to stem climate change and enhance rain dispersion etc.

It is not just about creating green space within urban areas.

Therefore policy does not comply with NPPF and NPPG.

No amount of residential build will enhance Green field sites such as SOM2 but degrade it. Green Infrastructure is also important for public well being, and therefore very important to the local rural community and urban visitors, therefore I copy a paragraph of my letter to MBC dated 23rd October 2016

Which states:-

" This new proposal is arguably set among the most outstanding part of Somerby in terms of beauty and tranquillity to be enjoyed by the whole village regardless of where people live or in what circumstances such as social housing or in a mansion, as it comprises a riding school, grazing land, children's play area and local country walks ,not to mention wild life. This is what attracts people to and typifies a village of this standing.

In my opinion and experience of 24 plus years living in Somerby the Melton plan is wrong in the way it puts little value on this green field site known as SOM2 especially in relation to policy EN3.

As stated in last paragraph of EN3 Policy

Where new development has an adverse impact on green infrastructure corridors or assets, alternative sites and scheme designs that have no or little impact should be considered before mitigation is provided (either on site or off site as appropriate). The need for and benefit of the development will be weighed against the harm caused.

Somerby already has two alternative sites in planning stage namely SOM1 and SOM3 also 12 in planning Church lane and 3 in Build Manor Lane.

No planning application has gone in for SOM2.

4 CH7PEN3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To comply with Duty to Co-operate and SOUNDNESS the plan should recognise SOM2 is within "Jubilee Way" (Priority Green Infrastructure) and therefore satisfy NPPF and NPPG. and in doing so take SOM2 out of housing allocation.

Policy EN6 – Settlement Character

1 CH7PEN6Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN6 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN6Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistent with National Policy

3 CH7PEN6Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN6 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN6 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question the SOUNDNESS: of this policy with regard Somerby (SOM)

As any build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy EN6

SOM2 is adjacent centre of conservation area (some part is within) and any modern build will have maximum negative effect on period properties such as the 17th Century Charity House Which is well within the vicinity of SOM2.

4 CH7PEN6Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Therefore to Satisfy SOUNDNESS take SOM2 out of housing allocation.

EN8 – Climate Change

1 CH7PEN8Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN8 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH7PEN8Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN8 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

This policy is SOUND:

However any build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy EN8 as the whole of SOM2 is within "Priority Green Infrastructure" known as "Jubilee Way".

See my comments in policy EN3.

Primary Green Infrastructure (especially in rural green field sites) are important to combat climate change and ensure maximum Rain Dispersion etc. Even small parcels of green field sites such as SOM2 play an important part in the overall plan to tackle these important world wide issues.

4 CH7PEN8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally

compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

With regard to Somerby, to make this policy SOUND:

Take SOM2 out of housing allocation

Policy EN12 – Sustainable Drainage Systems

1 CH7PEN12Q1: Do you consider that Policy EN12 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH7PEN12Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH7PEN12Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy EN12 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy EN12 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Build on SOM2 (Somerby) will have maximum negative effect on this Policy , as the whole of this site is within "Priority Green Infrastructure" where rain dispersion is important.

4 CH7PEN12Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Chapter 8: Managing the Delivery of the Melton Local Plan

1 CH8Q1: Do you consider that Chapter 8 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH8Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 CH8Q3: Please give details of why you consider Chapter 81 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Chapter 8 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Build on SOM2 and 3 will have maximum negative impact on policies in chapter 8

I refer to 8.3.4 "it is not a primary role of the Local Plan to provide solutions to current problems."

However adding to current problems with build in wrong places will not help either.

4 CH8Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make Chapter 8 legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is

incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make Chapter 8 legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Take SOM2 and 3 out of housing allocation.

IN3: Broadband

1 CH8PIN3Q1: Do you consider that Policy IN3 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH8PIN3Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH8PIN3Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy IN3 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy IN3 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Policy is SOUND:

I agree in full

4 CH8PIN3Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

Policy D1 - Raising the Standard of Design

1 CH9PD1Q1: Do you consider that Policy D1 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH9PD1Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH9PD1Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy D1 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy D1 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Policy SOUND:

However build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy D1,

especially paragraph "I"

No safe connection to highway. reason

Access would have to be near dangerous bend in main road, also lots of equestrian and walking activity close to. Recipe for fatalities

"DUTY OF CARE" comes to mind.

4 CH9PD1Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy SOUNDNESS: of Policy D1. in relation to SOM2

Take SOM2 out of housing allocation.

Concentrate on SOM1.

Policy D2 – Equestrian Development

1 CH9PD2Q1: Do you consider that Policy D2 is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

2 CH9PD2Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH9PD2Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy D2 is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy D2 or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

Policy is SOUND:

However any build on SOM2 will have maximum negative effect on Policy D2 for reasons set out below.

There is already a well established equestrian centre close to SOM2 this land is used by them for grazing and livery.

4 CH9PD2Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To Satisfy SOUNDNESS: of policy in relation to SOM2

Ensure existing equestrian centre which is very close to and uses SOM2 for horse grazing and livery is safe guarded by taking SOM2 out of housing allocation

Policies Maps

1 PMQ1: Do you consider that the Policies Maps are?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

No

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

No

2 PMQ2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

1) Positively Prepared, 2) Justified, 3) Effective, 4) Consistant with National Policy

3 PMQ3: Please give details of why you consider that the Policy Maps are not legally compliant or unsound or fail to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Policy Maps or their compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I question Complies with Duty to Co-operate and SOUNDNESS.

I refer to :- Amendments to the map - 29 November 2016

The "interactive policies map" was altered (Amendments to the map - 29 November 2016) due to alleged inaccuracies, questions the validity of the plan and the consultation period as it highlights a lack of attention to detail. and perhaps lack of communication between interested parties to ensure "interactive policies map" is accurate before putting into public domain.

Bearing in mind this amendment comes within the middle of the consultation period and some residents may well have submitted their comments before this amendment.

One can forgive typing errors etc. but not any inaccuracies to detail.

Remember the Melton Plan has a professional team behind it, the public response to it does not and can only respond to plan that is presented to them.

Therefore I question Complies with Duty to Co-operate

I also question SOUNDNESS: as there seems to be controversy as to the location of "Jubilee Way" in relation to Priority Green Infrastructure corridor going through SOM2.

However these are map co-ordinates taken from part of "Jubilee Way" in relation to SOM2.

Latitude 52.6856 Longitude -0.852

4 PMQ4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

To satisfy Complies with Duty to Co-operate and SOUNDNESS.

I suggest an extension to cut off date 19th December 2016 whilst plan is scrutinised for any further potential amendments

5 PMQ5: Please indicate which Policy Maps your response relates to.

If multiple please indicate on separate lines for each. :

The "interactive policies map"

Examination

1 EXQ1: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Participate at the Oral Examination

If you wish to speak at examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary::

Obviously my main concerns about Somerby, the village I live in and I think being a resident for best part of 25 years, qualifies me to have some input based on local knowledge and needs.

I think many of the policies especially in relation to SOM2 (Somerby) are unsound

In my opinion any build on this site will have major negative impact on our rural way of life we are a small rural equestrian orientated village mainly related to SOM2.

I think there are some very important points to get across re-this issue that can not always be expressed fully in writing.

2 EXQ2: Moreover please indicate if you wish to continue to be involved in the Local Plan (Please tick appropriate boxes).

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Melton Local Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Inspector's Report is available to view, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Melton Local Plan is adopted, If you/your organisation wish to be included in future consultations on the Melton Local Plan

Acknowledgement

1 I understand the above statement and agree I have complied with its requirements

I agree