

# Response ID ANON-13H4-7YWM-M

Submitted to **Melton Local Plan Pre-Submission Draft**  
Submitted on 2016-12-16 17:49:01

## About you

### 1 What is your name?

Name:

Scott Bailey

### 2 What is your email address?

Email:

### 3 Are you responding as an individual, consultee, stakeholder or other?

Resident

If Consultee, Stakeholder, or Other, please give details here. :

### 4 Address

### 5 Age

Please select your age:

## Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations

### 1 CH5PC1(A)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (A) is?

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

Yes

2 CH5PC1(A)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?

3 CH5PC1(A)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (A) – Housing Allocations or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Please insert text here. :

I consider policy C1( a) in principle to be sound and compliant but I would request with specific reference to the 78 house allocation for Frisby on the Wreake for MBC to re consider the sites chosen for these houses (specifically FRIS2 & FRIS3). The Frisby on the Wreake draft neighbourhood plan has a full evidence base and community support for the 78 houses to be sited on the Great Lane site FRIS1 and the reserve site on Rotherby Lane FRIS4, I would request that the MBC local plan be amended to reflect this. The land to the South site (FRIS3) and Water Lane site (FRIS2) both have been rejected and the full evidence to support this can be found within the draft neighbourhood plan.

**4 CH5PC1(A)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites**

**1 CH5PC1(B)Q1: Do you consider that Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is?**

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Legally Compliant::

Yes

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Sound::

Do you consider that Policy SS1 - Complies with Duty to Co-operate:

**2 CH5PC1(B)Q2: If answered "No" to "2: Sound" please answer the following question. Do you consider that the Policy is unsound because it is not?**

**3 CH5PC1(B)Q3: Please give details of why you consider Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of Policy C1 (B); Reserve Sites or its compliance with the Duty to Co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please insert text here. :

In relation to specifically Frisby on the Wreake reserve site allocations and in line with my previous comment regarding the 78 housing allocation. I would urge MBC to reconsider that the Rotherby Lane reserve site FRIS4 be changed to a primary site along with Great Lane FRIS1 as the sites to be allocated by MBC in the local plan for the housing allocation. The draft Frisby on the Wreake neighbourhood plan supports this housing allocation and I would request that that MBC consider this amendment to the local plan.

**4 CH5PC1(B)Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 2 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this change will make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

lease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Melton Local Plan legally compliant or sound:

### **Examination**

**1 EXQ1: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

Written Representations

If you wish to speak at examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary::

**2 EXQ2: Moreover please indicate if you wish to continue to be involved in the Local Plan (Please tick appropriate boxes).**

If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Melton Local Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided when the Inspector's Report is available to view, If you wish to be notified at the address/e-mail provided in Part A when the Melton Local Plan is adopted, If you/your organisation wish to be included in future consultations on the Melton Local Plan

### **Acknowledgement**

**1 I understand the above statement and agree I have complied with its requirements**

I agree