

**FOCUSED CHANGES RESPONSES TO REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED: FC4 - Thorpe Arnold**

Representor Name	Focused Change/Policy Ref	Summary of Representation	MBC Response
Mr and Mrs Stribblehills	FC4/THOR1	Thorpe Arnold – southern part of THOR1 is in separate ownership - Thorpe Arnold Church Council (now part of Melton Mowbray Parochial Church Council – covenanted for use as a graveyard. In a subsequent phone conversation, no decision had been considered to make it available for development.	The land ownership details have been checked and are correct. The agent promoting the site has been contacted. A proposed modification to reduce the site to the single owner area will be suggested at Examination.
Charles Skelton	FC4/THOR 1 & THOR2	Tenants of farmland affected by these proposals. Object to any houses on land farmed by them.	THOR1 and THOR2 are being promoted on behalf of the landowner. The site assessment indicated that they were suitable, available and achievable. The representor should contact the landowner to discuss this.
Historic England	THOR1	The 3 <sup>rd</sup> criterion of THOR1 should be amended to read “the development is sympathetic to the setting of the Grade II* Church of St Mary the Virgin and other heritage assets.”	Grade II* listed buildings would be encompassed within the wording of criterion 3. The Council will seek to resolve outstanding issues with Historic England through a Statement of Common Ground to be submitted to the LP Examination in due course.
Charles Skelton	Thor1 and Thor2	Sites THOR1 and THOR2 sit on pasture land within our agricultural tenancy at Wold House. The landlord is The Buckminster Estate. We have expressed our concerns to the landlord that the proposed sites will inflict damage on our ability to farm and to manage our business. The resultant risk of livestock worrying, litter, gates being removed/left open, complaints about smell and noise gives us great concern and we do not think you have given thought to this aspect of the development.	The ability of the remainder of the land outside these allocations to be satisfactorily farmed is considered to be a matter for the tenant and landlord. There is no evidence that the future impact which is quoted is so unusual or exceptional that the allocations should be amended or deleted .
Buckminster - Mr Stephen Vickers	C1 (A) Housing Allocations - THOR1 & THOR2	Support - Plan legally compliant and sound	Noted. Please also see response to Mr and Mrs Stribblehill.