Representor Name	Focused Change/Policy Ref	Summary of Representation	MBC Response
Elizabeth Crowther (LHH PC)	FC4 Harby	HAR3 boundary as it was in Nov2016. Capacity on HAR4 to 50 as Nov2016. Delete the reserve site HAR5 because of impacts (visual, landscape, not connected to the rest of the settlement)	The boundary of the site HAR3 now aligns with the approved appeal site an The new capacity for HAR4 is the result of a review of the site assessment w all the sites. MBC needs to be as consistent as possible and to do that we have methodology as a reference (i.e. 30dph). The information shown in the following document https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/d246bd_8d1291b5af19459cae55d968d3f44 the site is 2.45ha giving an estimated capacity of 61 units, however the rem this is an estimation and not a declared number of units for the site. The De the final capacity numbers. In relation to HAR5, the housing site assessments underpinning Focused Cha and data that was available on a comparable basis across the whole of the E sustainability, suitability and achievability factors. The Council consider this NPPF para. 158. Action: Amend the capacity calculation numbers for HAR4 to adjust it to 61 site update, MBC May 2017'.
Ravi Karir (obo Croft Developments)	FC4 Harby	Support to HAR4.	Comments Noted

FOCUSED CHANGES RESPONSES TO REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED: FC4 - Harby

Appendix 1 (d)(x)

and therefore no change is proposed.

t work where a standard methodology has been used for have used the joint Leicester and Leicestershire SHLAA

f4882c.pdf (page 123) is wrong as the gross capacity of emaining tables are correct. We need to understand that Development Management process will be able to polish

Change 4 were based on the most up to date information e Borough at the time, for a relevant range of his to be adequate and proportionate evidence, as per

61 units. Page 123 of 'MBC FC4 - Part 2 Service centres